

Optimal control of the bidomain system (IV): corrected proofs of the stability and regularity theorems

Karl Kunisch and Marcus Wagner

1. Introduction.

a) Aim of the paper and main results.

We consider the full bidomain system, which represents a well-accepted description of the electrical activity of the heart, as given through ⁰¹⁾

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}}{\partial t} + I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}, W) - \operatorname{div} (M_i \nabla \Phi_i) = I_i \quad \text{for a. a. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]; \quad (1.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}}{\partial t} + I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}, W) + \operatorname{div} (M_e \nabla \Phi_e) = -I_e \quad \text{for a. a. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]; \quad (1.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + G(\Phi_{tr}, W) = 0 \quad \text{for a. a. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]; \quad (1.3)$$

$$\mathbf{n}^T M_i \nabla \Phi_i = 0 \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, T]; \quad (1.4)$$

$$\mathbf{n}^T M_e \nabla \Phi_e = 0 \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, T]; \quad (1.5)$$

$$\Phi_{tr}(x, 0) = \Phi_i(x, 0) - \Phi_e(x, 0) = \Phi_0(x) \quad \text{and} \quad W(x, 0) = W_0(x) \quad \text{for a. a. } x \in \Omega \quad (1.6)$$

on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with the fixed time horizon $T > 0$. Further, we consider the monodomain system

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}}{\partial t} + I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}, W) - \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} \operatorname{div} (M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr}) = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda} (\lambda I_i - I_e) \quad \text{for a. a. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]; \quad (1.7)$$

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + G(\Phi_{tr}, W) = 0 \quad \text{for a. a. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]; \quad (1.8)$$

$$\mathbf{n}^T M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr} = 0 \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, T]; \quad (1.9)$$

$$\Phi_{tr}(x, 0) = \Phi_0(x) \quad \text{and} \quad W(x, 0) = W_0(x) \quad \text{for a. a. } x \in \Omega \quad (1.10)$$

arising as a special case of (1.1) – (1.6) if the conductivity tensors satisfy $M_e = \lambda M_i$ with a constant parameter $\lambda > 0$, thus allowing to eliminate Φ_e as an independent variable. In a series of papers, ⁰²⁾ the authors investigated optimal control problems related to the dynamics (1.1) – (1.6) and (1.7) – (1.10) together with standard two-variable ionic models, namely the Rogers-McCulloch, FitzHugh-Nagumo and the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model (see Subsection 2.a) below). Using I_e as control variable while $I_i = \mathbf{o}$, ⁰³⁾ and relying on a weak solution concept for the monodomain as well as for the bidomain system, the authors studied existence of minimizers and derived first-order necessary optimality conditions.

⁰¹⁾ The bidomain model has been considered first in [TUNG 78]. A detailed introduction may be found e. g. in [SUNDNES/LINES/CAI/NIELSEN/MARDAL/TVEITO 06], pp. 21 – 56.

⁰²⁾ [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12], [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A] and [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B].

⁰³⁾ This setting is due to physiological reasons.

The analysis of the control problems is based on a regularity discussion for the weak solutions, which leads to a stability estimate and a uniqueness theorem for the monodomain and bidomain system, respectively. The existence proof for the adjoint system is influenced by the regularity of the primal solutions as well. Unfortunately, the authors recognized a serious error within the proofs of these theorems. However, the present investigation shows that the assertions from [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12] and [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A] can be maintained (with minor changes only) while the proofs must be subjected to considerable alterations. As a consequence, the optimization theorems from [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B] allow for substantial improvements (see Theorems 4.2., 4.3. and 4.5. below).

In the present paper, we provide a refined regularity discussion and corrected proofs. For sake of completeness, all theorems from [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12], [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A] and [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B] will be repeated together with their possible corrections. In this updated version,⁰⁴⁾ further errors have been fixed, the regularity discussion is completed by Theorem 1.5. below, and the consequences for the analysis of the optimal control problem in the bidomain case have been stated and proved.

Our main results read as follows:

Theorem 1.1. (Stability estimate for weak solutions of the monodomain system)⁰⁵⁾ *Consider the monodomain system in its weak formulation (2.9) – (2.11), assuming that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain, and $M_i : \text{cl}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ is a symmetric, positive definite matrix function with $L^\infty(\Omega)$ -coefficients, which obeys a uniform ellipticity condition with $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$:*

$$0 \leq \mu_1 \|\xi\|^2 \leq \xi^T M_i(x) \xi \leq \mu_2 \|\xi\|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (1.11)$$

Let us specify either the Rogers-McCulloch, the FitzHugh-Nagumo or the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model.

If two weak solutions (Φ_{tr}', W') , $(\Phi_{tr}'', W'') \in (C^0[[0, T], L^2(\Omega)] \cap L^2((0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^4(\Omega_T)) \times C^0[[0, T], L^2(\Omega)]$ of the system correspond to initial values $\Phi_0' = \Phi_0'' = \Phi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $W_0' = W_0'' = W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ and inhomogeneities I_i', I_e', I_i'' and $I_e'' \in L^2((0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)$, whose norms are bounded by $R > 0$, then the following estimates hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{C^0[[0, T], L^2(\Omega)]}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2((0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^2 \\ & + \|W' - W''\|_{C^0[[0, T], L^2(\Omega)]}^2 + \|W' - W''\|_{W^{1,2}((0, T), L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ & \leq C \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2((0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^2 + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2((0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

The constant $C > 0$ does not depend on I_i', I_e', I_i'' and I_e'' but possibly on Ω, R, Φ_0 and W_0 .

Theorem 1.2. (Stability estimate for weak solutions of the bidomain system)⁰⁶⁾ *Consider the bidomain system in its weak formulation (2.75) – (2.78), assuming that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain, $M_i, M_e : \text{cl}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ are symmetric, positive definite matrix functions with $L^\infty(\Omega)$ -coefficients, obeying uniform ellipticity conditions with $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$:*

$$0 \leq \mu_1 \|\xi\|^2 \leq \xi^T M_i(x) \xi \leq \mu_2 \|\xi\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \mu_1 \|\xi\|^2 \leq \xi^T M_e(x) \xi \leq \mu_2 \|\xi\|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (1.13)$$

Let us specify either the Rogers-McCulloch, the FitzHugh-Nagumo or the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model.

⁰⁴⁾ A former version of this paper appeared at Sept 24, 2014.

⁰⁵⁾ Correction of [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12], p. 1533, Theorem 3.8.

⁰⁶⁾ Correction of [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A], p. 959, Theorem 2.7., and [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B], p. 1082, Theorem 2.4.

If two weak solutions $(\Phi_{tr}', \Phi_e', W')$, $(\Phi_{tr}'', \Phi_e'', W'')$ correspond to initial values $\Phi_0' = \Phi_0'' = \Phi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $W_0' = W_0'' = W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ and inhomogeneities $I_i', I_e', I_i'', I_e'' \in L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]$, which satisfy the compatibility conditions

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(I_i'(x, t) + I_e'(x, t) \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} \left(I_i''(x, t) + I_e''(x, t) \right) dx = 0 \quad \text{for a. a. } t \in (0, T), \quad (1.14)$$

and whose norms are bounded by $R > 0$, then the following estimates hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'' \|_{C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'' \|_{L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]}^2 + \| \Phi_e' - \Phi_e'' \|_{L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]}^2 \\ & + \| W' - W'' \|_{C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| W' - W'' \|_{W^{1,2}[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]}^2 \\ & \leq C \left(\| I_i' - I_i'' \|_{L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \| I_e' - I_e'' \|_{L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

The constant $C > 0$ does not depend on I_i', I_e', I_i'' and I_e'' but possibly on Ω , R , Φ_0 and W_0 .

Theorem 1.3. (Uniqueness of weak solutions of the monodomain system)⁰⁷⁾ Consider the monodomain system in its weak formulation (2.9) – (2.11) under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Specifying either the Rogers-McCulloch, the FitzHugh-Nagumo or the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model, the system admits a unique weak solution

$$(\Phi_{tr}, W) \in \left(C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega) \right) \cap L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)] \cap L^4(\Omega_T) \times C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega) \quad (1.16)$$

in correspondence to initial values $\Phi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ and inhomogeneities $I_i, I_e \in L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]$.

Theorem 1.4. (Uniqueness of weak solutions of the bidomain system)⁰⁸⁾ Consider the bidomain system in its weak formulation (2.75) – (2.78) under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2. Specifying either the Rogers-McCulloch, the FitzHugh-Nagumo or the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model, the system admits a unique weak solution

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_{tr}, \Phi_e, W) \in & \left(C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega) \right) \cap L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)] \cap L^4(\Omega_T) \\ & \times L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)] \times C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega) \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

in correspondence to initial values $\Phi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ and inhomogeneities $I_i, I_e \in L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]$.

The main error to be corrected was the claim that, already under the assumptions of Theorems 1.1. – 1.4., the transmembrane potential Φ_{tr} within a weak solution of (1.1) – (1.6) or (1.7) – (1.10) can admit $L^4[(0, T), L^6(\Omega)]$ - or even $L^\infty[(0, T), L^4(\Omega)]$ -regularity.⁰⁹⁾ In Section 3 below, we will see that the theorems can be proven without relying on this claim. However, as the following theorem states, in order to ensure the claimed $L^4[(0, T), L^6(\Omega)]$ -regularity of Φ_{tr} , it suffices to consider right-hand sides I_i and I_e belonging to the space $L^4[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]$ instead of $L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]$,

⁰⁷⁾ Correction of [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12], p. 1529, Theorem 2.5.

⁰⁸⁾ Correction of [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A], p. 959, Theorem 2.8., and [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B], p. 1082, Theorem 2.3.

⁰⁹⁾ This error can be traced back to [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12], p. 1534, (3.39), and p. 1544, (B.14), as well as to [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A], p. 964, (2.69) and (2.70), respectively.

Theorem 1.5. (Higher regularity of the transmembrane potential in weak solutions of the monodomain and the bidomain system) 1) Consider the monodomain system in its weak formulation (2.9) – (2.11) under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. with either the Rogers-McCulloch, FitzHugh-Nagumo or the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model and initial values $\Phi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $W_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$. If a weak solution (Φ_{tr}, W) corresponds to inhomogeneities $I_i, I_e \in L^4[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]$ then the transmembrane potential admits the higher regularity $\Phi_{tr} \in L^4[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]$.

2) Consider the bidomain system in its weak formulation (2.75) – (2.78) under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2. with either the Rogers-McCulloch, FitzHugh-Nagumo or the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model and initial values $\Phi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $W_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$. If a weak solution (Φ_{tr}, Φ_e, W) corresponds to inhomogeneities $I_i, I_e \in L^4[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]$ then the transmembrane potential admits the higher regularity $\Phi_{tr} \in L^4[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]$.

The paper is structured as follows. We continue with a short collection of notations (Subsect. 1.b) and repeat, for the reader's sake, the imbedding theorems for Bochner spaces used below (Subsect. 1.c). In Section 2, we start with the description of the ionic models, which will be subsequently used (Subsect. 2.a). Then we restate the monodomain system in its weak formulation and study the existence and regularity of the weak solutions for the different models (Subsect. 2.b) – d). Subsequently, the weak formulation of the full bidomain system together with existence and regularity results for its weak solutions is provided (Subsect. 2.e) – g). Even here, the proof of Theorem 1.5. is included (Subsect. 2.h). In Section 3, we deliver the corrected proofs of the stability estimates for the monodomain and bidomain system, respectively (Theorems 1.1. and 1.2.), which imply the uniqueness of the weak solutions (Theorems 1.3. and 1.4.). Some remarks and corollaries are added. Finally, in Section 4, we list in full detail the corrections to be made in the authors' previous papers.

b) Notations.

We abbreviate $\Omega \times [0, T]$ by Ω_T . By $L^p(\Omega)$, we denote the space of functions, which are in the p th power integrable ($1 \leq p < \infty$), or are measurable and essentially bounded ($p = \infty$), and by $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ the Sobolev space of functions $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which, together with their first-order weak partial derivatives, belong to the space $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$). Concerning spaces of Bochner integrable mappings, e. g. $L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]$, we refer to [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12], p. 1542. The gradient ∇ is always taken only with respect to the spatial variables x . Finally, we use the nonstandard abbreviation “ $(\forall) t \in A$ ”, which has to be read as “for almost all $t \in A$ ” or “for all $t \in A$ except for a Lebesgue null set”. The symbol $\mathbf{o}$ denotes, depending on the context, the zero element or the zero function of the underlying space.

c) Compact imbeddings of Bochner spaces.

Theorem 1.6. (Aubin-Dubinskij lemma)¹⁰⁾ Consider three normed spaces $X_0 \subseteq X \subseteq X_1$ where the imbedding $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ is compact and the imbedding $X \hookrightarrow X_1$ is continuous. If $p, p' \in (1, \infty)$ then the space

$$Y = \left\{ f \in L^p[(0, T), X_0] \mid \frac{df}{dt} \in L^{p'}[(0, T), X_1] \right\} \quad (1.18)$$

is compactly imbedded into $L^p[(0, T), X]$. ■

¹⁰⁾ [DUBINSKIJ 65], p. 612, Teorema 1, and p. 615, Teorema 2. Its formulation is not affected by the corrections, which have been presented recently in [BARRETT/SÜLI 12]. Note that this theorem has been cited incorrectly in [FURSIKOV], p. 8, Lemma 1.2., [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12], p. 1542, Theorem A.6, and the former version of this paper, p. 4, Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 1.7. (Generalization of the Aubin-Dubinskij lemma)¹¹⁾ Consider three Banach spaces $X_0 \subseteq X \subseteq X_1$ where the imbeddings $X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ and $X \hookrightarrow X_1$ are continuous while $X_0 \hookrightarrow X_1$ is compact. Assume further that there exists a number $0 < \vartheta < 1$ such that

$$\|\psi\|_X \leq C \|\psi\|_{X_0}^{1-\vartheta} \cdot \|\psi\|_{X_1}^{\vartheta} \quad \forall \psi \in X_0 \cap X_1. \quad (1.19)$$

Consider for exponents $1 \leq p < p' \leq \infty$ the space

$$Y = \left\{ f \in L^p((0, T), X_0) \mid \frac{df}{dt} \in L^{p'}((0, T), X_1) \right\}. \quad (1.20)$$

1) If $\Delta(p, p', \vartheta) = (1 - \vartheta)/p - \vartheta(1 - 1/p') \geq 0$ then the space Y is compactly imbedded into $L^q((0, T), X)$ for all $1 \leq q < 1/\Delta$.

2) If $\Delta(p, p', \vartheta) = (1 - \vartheta)/p - \vartheta(1 - 1/p') < 0$ then the space Y is compactly imbedded into $C^0([0, T], X)$.

■

2. Regularity of weak solutions for the monodomain and bidomain system.

a) The ionic models.

The following models for the ionic current I_{ion} and the function G within the gating equation will be considered:

1) *The FitzHugh-Nagumo model.*¹²⁾

$$I_{ion}(\varphi, w) = \varphi(\varphi - a)(\varphi - 1) + w = \varphi^3 - (a + 1)\varphi^2 + a\varphi + w; \quad (2.1)$$

$$G(\varphi, w) = \varepsilon w - \varepsilon \kappa \varphi \quad (2.2)$$

with $0 < a < 1$, $\kappa > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus the gating variable obeys the linear ODE

$$\partial W / \partial t + \varepsilon W = \varepsilon \kappa \Phi_{tr}. \quad (2.3)$$

2) *The Rogers-McCulloch model.*¹³⁾

$$I_{ion}(\varphi, w) = b \cdot \varphi(\varphi - a)(\varphi - 1) + \varphi \cdot w = b\varphi^3 - (a + 1)b\varphi^2 + ab\varphi + \varphi w; \quad (2.4)$$

$$G(\varphi, w) = \varepsilon w - \varepsilon \kappa \varphi \quad (2.5)$$

with $0 < a < 1$, $b > 0$, $\kappa > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Consequently, the ODE for the gating variable is the same as before.

3) *The linearized Aliev-Panfilov model.*¹⁴⁾

$$I_{ion}(\varphi, w) = b \cdot \varphi(\varphi - a)(\varphi - 1) + \varphi \cdot w = b\varphi^3 - (a + 1)b\varphi^2 + ab\varphi + \varphi w; \quad (2.6)$$

$$G(\varphi, w) = \varepsilon w - \varepsilon \kappa ((a + 1)\varphi - \varphi^2) \quad (2.7)$$

¹¹⁾ [SIMON 87], p. 90, Corollary 8.

¹²⁾ [FITZHUGH 61], together with [NAGUMO/ARIMOTO/YOSHIZAWA 62].

¹³⁾ [ROGERS/MCCULLOCH 94].

¹⁴⁾ This model is taken from [BOURGAULT/COUDIÈRE/PIERRE 09], p. 480. Instead, the original model from [ALIEV/PANFILOV 96] contains a Riccati equation for the gating variable.

with $0 < a < 1$, $b > 0$, $\kappa > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The linear ODE for the gating variable is

$$\partial W / \partial t + \varepsilon W = \varepsilon \kappa \left((a + 1) \Phi_{tr} - \Phi_{tr}^2 \right). \quad (2.8)$$

b) Weak formulation of the monodomain system and known regularity of weak solutions.

The weak formulation of the monodomain system (1.7) – (1.10) reads as follows:

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}}{\partial t} + I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}, W) \right) \psi dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} \nabla \psi^T M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr} dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda} (\lambda I_i - I_e) \psi dx \quad (2.9)$$

$$\forall \psi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \quad (\forall) t \in [0, T];$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + G(\Phi_{tr}, W) \right) \psi dx = 0 \quad \forall \psi \in L^2(\Omega) \quad (\forall) t \in [0, T] \quad (2.10)$$

$$\Phi_{tr}(x, 0) = \Phi_0(x) \quad (\forall) x \in \Omega; \quad W(x, 0) = W_0(x) \quad (\forall) x \in \Omega \quad (2.11)$$

where $\lambda > 0$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1., the system (2.9) – (2.11) with either the FitzHugh-Nagumo, the Rogers-McCulloch or the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model admits for arbitrary initial values Φ_0 , $W_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and inhomogeneities $I_i, I_e \in L^2([0, T], (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)$ at least one weak solution¹⁵⁾

$$(\Phi_{tr}, W) \in \left(C^0([0, T], L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T], W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^4(\Omega_T) \right) \times C^0([0, T], L^2(\Omega)). \quad (2.12)$$

Any weak solution satisfies the a-priori estimate¹⁶⁾

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{C^0([0, T], L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2([0, T], W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^4 + \|\partial \Phi_{tr} / \partial t\|_{L^{4/3}([0, T], (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^{4/3} \\ & \quad + \|W\|_{C^0([0, T], L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \|\partial W / \partial t\|_{L^2([0, T], (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^2 \\ & \leq C \cdot \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_i\|_{L^2([0, T], (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2([0, T], (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

with a constant $C > 0$, which does not depend on Φ_0, W_0, I_i and I_e . We will investigate now how to improve the regularity of a given weak solution, depending on the model and the regularity of W_0 . In the following, we will refer to

$$\mathcal{M}(\psi', \psi'') = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} \int_{\Omega} \nabla(\psi')^T M_i \nabla \psi'' dx \quad (2.14)$$

as the *monodomain form* $\mathcal{M}: W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

c) Monodomain system with Rogers-McCulloch model: improvement of regularity for the weak solutions.

Proposition 2.1. (Gain of regularity for the gating variable) *Consider the monodomain system with the Rogers-McCulloch model under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Let a weak solution (Φ_{tr}, W) of it correspond to initial values $\Phi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ and right-hand sides $I_i, I_e \in L^2([0, T], (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)$, thus belonging to the spaces in (2.12).*

1) Then W belongs to $C^1([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$; consequently, $\partial W / \partial t$ belongs to $L^2(\Omega_T)$.

¹⁵⁾ [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12], p. 1528 f., Theorem 2.2.

¹⁶⁾ [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12], p. 1529, Theorem 2.4.

2) Moreover, $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ implies that W belongs even to $C^0[[0, T], L^4(\Omega)]$, and it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|W\|_{C^0[[0, T], L^4(\Omega)]}^4 &\leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|I_i\|_{L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Note that Part 1) of Proposition 2.1. is still true for $W_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Proposition 2.2. (Gain of regularity for the transmembrane potential, strong solution of the monodomain system) *Consider the monodomain system with the Rogers-McCulloch model under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. If, moreover, $\partial\Omega$ is of $C^{1,1}$ -regularity, the coefficients of M_i belong to $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, $\Phi_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ and $I_i, I_e \in L^2(\Omega_T)$ then the system admits even a strong solution (Φ_{tr}, W) with*

$$\Phi_{tr} \in C^0[[0, T], W^{1,2}(\Omega)] \cap L^2[(0, T), W^{2,2}(\Omega)] \cap W^{1,4/3}[(0, T), L^{4/3}(\Omega)]. \quad (2.16)$$

If the Rogers-McCulloch model is replaced by the FitzHugh-Nagumo model then Propositions 2.1. and 2.2. hold accordingly. The most important regularity property of the solution, however, is stated in Theorem 1.5., 1). We continue with the proofs of Propositions 2.1. and 2.2. and postpone the proof of Theorem 1.5. to Subsect. 2.h).

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Part 1) Observe first that W admits the representation

$$W(x, t) = W_0(x) e^{-\varepsilon t} + \varepsilon \kappa e^{-\varepsilon t} \int_0^t \Phi_{tr}(x, \tau) e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau, \quad (2.17)$$

from which the claimed $C^1[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]$ -regularity follows by differentiation.

Part 2) Recall now that W_0 belongs to $L^4(\Omega)$. Then from (2.13) and (2.17), we derive the further estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} W(t)^4 dx &\leq C \|W_0\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 + C \varepsilon \kappa \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^4 \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 + \|I_i\|_{L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

which confirms that W belongs to $L^\infty[(0, T), L^4(\Omega)]$. In order to prove that $\|W(\cdot, t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4$ depends continuously on t , consider for $s, t \in [0, T]$ the difference

$$\left| \|W(s)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 - \|W(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega} (W(s)^4 - W(t)^4) dx \right| \quad (2.19)$$

$$= \left| \int_{\Omega} (W(s) - W(t)) (W(s)^3 + W(s)^2 W(t) + W(s) W(t)^2 + W(t)^3) dx \right| \quad (2.20)$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |W(s) - W(t)|^4 dx \right)^{1/4} \left(\int_{\Omega} |W(s)^3 + W(s)^2 W(t) + W(s) W(t)^2 + W(t)^3|^{4/3} dx \right)^{3/4}. \quad (2.21)$$

We rely on the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3. (Generalized Minkowski inequality) ¹⁷⁾ *Assume that $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then for every measurable function $\varphi: (0, T) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, it holds that*

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^T |\varphi(x, t)| dt \right)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} |\varphi(x, t)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} dt. \quad \blacksquare \quad (2.22)$$

¹⁷⁾ [STEIN 70], p. 271, A.1.

Applying Jensen's inequality and Lemma 2.3. to the estimation of the first term from (2.21), we obtain

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |W(s) - W(t)|^4 dx \right)^{1/4} = \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| W_0 (e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}) + \varepsilon \kappa e^{-\varepsilon s} \left(\int_0^s \Phi_{tr} e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau - \int_0^t \Phi_{tr} e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \varepsilon \kappa (e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}) \int_0^t \Phi_{tr} e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \right|^4 dx \right)^{1/4} \quad (2.23)$$

$$\leq C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \|W_0\|_{L^4(\Omega)} + C \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \int_t^s \Phi_{tr} e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \right|^4 dx \right)^{1/4} \\ + C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \int_0^t \Phi_{tr} e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \right|^4 dx \right)^{1/4} \quad (2.24)$$

$$\leq C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \|W_0\|_{L^4(\Omega)} + C |s - t|^{3/4} \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_t^s |\Phi_{tr}|^4 e^{4\varepsilon \tau} d\tau dx \right)^{1/4} \\ + C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \int_0^t \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^4 e^{4\varepsilon \tau} dx \right)^{1/4} d\tau \quad (2.25)$$

$$\leq C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \|W_0\|_{L^4(\Omega)} + C |s - t|^{3/4} \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_0^T |\Phi_{tr}|^4 e^{4\varepsilon T} d\tau dx \right)^{1/4} \\ + C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^4 e^{4\varepsilon T} dx \right)^{1/4} d\tau \quad (2.26)$$

$$\leq C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \left(\|W_0\|_{L^4(\Omega)} + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^1[(0, T), L^4(\Omega)]} \right) + C |s - t|^{3/4} \cdot \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)} \quad (2.27)$$

$$\leq C \left(|s - t|^{3/4} + |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \right) \cdot \left(\|W_0\|_{L^4(\Omega)} + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)} \right). \quad (2.28)$$

Estimation of the second term yields:

$$\int_{\Omega} |W(s)^3 + W(s)^2 W(t) + W(s) W(t)^2 + W(t)^3|^{4/3} dx \quad (2.29) \\ \leq C \int_{\Omega} \left(|W(s)|^4 + |W(s)|^{8/3} |W(t)|^{4/3} + |W(s)|^{4/3} |W(t)|^{8/3} + |W(t)|^4 \right) dx.$$

From (2.18) we already know that $\int_{\Omega} |W(s)|^4 dx$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} |W(s)|^{8/3} |W(t)|^{4/3} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\max(|W(s)|, |W(t)|) \right)^4 dx \quad (2.30)$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} \max(|W(s)|^4, |W(t)|^4) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(|W(s)|^4 + |W(t)|^4 \right) dx \quad (2.31)$$

remain uniformly bounded. Consequently, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we may determine $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $|s - t| \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$ implies $\|\|W(s)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 - \|W(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4\| \leq \varepsilon$, and W belongs to $C^0[[0, T], L^4(\Omega)]$. ■

Proof of Proposition 2.2. We abbreviate: $I_{ie} = (\lambda I_i - I_e)/(1 + \lambda)$.

• **Step 1.** *A-priori estimates for a strong solution.* Assume that $(\Phi_{tr}, W) \in \left(C^0[[0, T], W^{1,2}(\Omega)] \cap L^2[(0, T), W^{2,2}(\Omega)] \cap W^{1,4/3}[(0, T), L^{4/3}(\Omega)] \right) \times C^0[[0, T], L^4(\Omega)]$ solves the monodomain system in strong sense. Then, forming the inner product of (1.7) with the function $\psi = -\operatorname{div}(M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr}) \in L^2(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$- \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}}{\partial t} \operatorname{div}(M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr}) dx - \int_{\Omega} \left(b \Phi_{tr}^3 - (a + 1) b \Phi_{tr}^2 + a b \Phi_{tr} + \Phi_{tr} W \right) \operatorname{div}(M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr}) dx \quad (2.32) \\ + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} \int_{\Omega} \left(\operatorname{div}(M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr}) \right)^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} I_{ie} \operatorname{div}(M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr}) dx \quad \text{for a. a. } t \in (0, T) \iff$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \nabla \Phi_{tr}, M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr} \rangle^2 + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda} \langle \operatorname{div}(M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr}), \operatorname{div}(M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr}) \rangle^2 + \langle 3 b \Phi_{tr}^2 \rangle \quad (2.33)$$

$$- 2(a + 1) b \Phi_{tr} + a b, \nabla \Phi_{tr} M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr} \rangle = - \langle I_{ie}, \operatorname{div}(M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr}) \rangle - \langle \Phi_{tr} W, \operatorname{div}(M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr}) \rangle.$$

Completing the square on the left-hand side according to

$$3b\varphi^2 - 2(a+1)b\varphi + ab = (\sqrt{3b}\varphi - \sqrt{b/3}(a+1))^2 - b(a+1)^2/3 + ab, \quad (2.34)$$

thus yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}, \Phi_{tr})|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \|\operatorname{div} (M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \langle (\sqrt{3b}\Phi_{tr} - \sqrt{b/3}(a+1))^2, \nabla \Phi_{tr} M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr} \rangle \\ = -\langle I_{ie}, \operatorname{div} (M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr}) \rangle - \langle \Phi_{tr} W, \operatorname{div} (M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr}) \rangle + \langle \frac{b(a+1)^2}{3} - ab, \nabla \Phi_{tr} M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

we arrive at the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}, \Phi_{tr})|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \|\operatorname{div} (M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ \leq C \left(1 + |\mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}, \Phi_{tr})|^2 + (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) \|\operatorname{div} (M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon_1} \|I_{ie}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon_2} \|\Phi_{tr} W\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

which holds true for arbitrary $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$. Choosing for these parameters sufficiently small values, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}, \Phi_{tr})|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2+2\lambda} \|\operatorname{div} (M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ \leq C \left(1 + |\mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}, \Phi_{tr})|^2 + \|I_{ie}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr} W\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \implies \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}, \Phi_{tr})|^2 + \|\operatorname{div} (M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ \leq C \left(1 + |\mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}, \Phi_{tr})|^2 + \|I_{ie}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 + \|W\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 + \|I_{ie}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \right), \quad (2.39)$$

using the estimate for $|\mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}, \Phi_{tr})|$ from [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12], p. 1529, Lemma 2.3. In view of (2.13) and Proposition 2.1., 2), this implies for any $t \in (0, T]$

$$|\mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}(t), \Phi_{tr}(t))|^2 \leq C \int_0^t \left(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 + \|I_{ie}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \right) d\tau \quad (2.40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2([0, T], W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^4 + \|W\|_{C^0([0, T], L^4(\Omega))}^4 \right. \\ \left. + \|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 + \|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \right). \quad (2.42)$$

Analogously, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\operatorname{div} (M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr})\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq C \int_0^T \left(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 + \|I_{ie}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \right) d\tau \\ \leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 + \|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is coercive, both together give

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \Phi_{tr}\|_{L^\infty([0, T], L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \|\operatorname{div} (M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr})\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \\ \leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 + \|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

Observe now that $(\|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\operatorname{div}(M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr})\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2}$ is equivalent to the canonical norm within $W^{2,2}(\Omega)$. Consequently, the last estimate implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^\infty[(0,T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2[(0,T), W^{2,2}(\Omega)]}^2 \\ \leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 + \|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

Combining this with (1.7) again, we may confirm ourselves that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^{4/3}(\Omega_T)}^{4/3} \leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 + \|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \right) \quad (2.46)$$

holds as well, and the a-priori estimate for the solution is established.

• **Step 2. Existence of a strong solution.** Consider an orthonormal sequence $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of eigenfunctions of the operator $-\operatorname{div}(M_i \nabla(\cdot))$ with Neumann boundary conditions. The additional regularity assumptions about $\partial\Omega$ and M_i imply that eigenfunctions $\psi \in W^{2,2}(\Omega)$ may be chosen. Now, applying the standard Galerkin technique, we approximate Φ_{tr} by

$$\Phi_{tr}^N(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i^N(t) \psi_i(x), \quad (2.47)$$

obtaining $c_i^N(t)$ from the Galerkin approximation for (1.7) within the space $V^N = \operatorname{span}\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^N$. The functions Φ_{tr}^N still satisfy the uniform estimates from Step 1. Since the norms are related to reflexive Banach spaces, we can pass to the limit with standard subsequential arguments. ■

d) Monodomain system with linearized Aliev-Panfilov model: improvement of regularity for the weak solutions.

Proposition 2.4. (Gain of regularity for the gating variable) *Consider the monodomain system with the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1., and let a weak solution (Φ_{tr}, W) of it correspond to initial values $\Phi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ and right-hand sides $I_i, I_e \in L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]$, thus belonging to the spaces in (2.12).*

1) *Then W belongs to $C^1[(0, 1), L^1(\Omega)]$, and $\partial W / \partial t$ belongs to $L^2(\Omega_T)$.*

2) *Moreover, $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ implies that W belongs even to $C^0[[0, T], L^3(\Omega)]$, and it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|W\|_{C^0[[0, T], L^3(\Omega)]}^3 \leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3 \right. \\ \left. + \|I_i\|_{L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.48)$$

Note that Part 1) of Proposition 2.4. still holds true for $W_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Proposition 2.5. (Gain of regularity for the transmembrane potential, strong solution of the monodomain system) *Consider the monodomain system with the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. If, moreover, $\partial\Omega$ is of $C^{1,1}$ -regularity, the coefficients of M_i belong to $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, $\Phi_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ and $I_i, I_e \in L^2(\Omega_T)$ then the system admits even a strong solution (Φ_{tr}, W) with*

$$\Phi_{tr} \in C^0[[0, T], W^{1,2}(\Omega)] \cap L^2[(0, T), W^{2,2}(\Omega)] \cap W^{1,4/3}[(0, T), L^{4/3}(\Omega)]. \quad (2.49)$$

Proof of Proposition 2.4. *Part 1)* Note first that, under the assumptions of the proposition, W is represented as

$$W(x, t) = W_0(x) e^{-\varepsilon t} + \varepsilon \kappa e^{-\varepsilon t} \int_0^t \left((a+1) \Phi_{tr}(x, \tau) - \Phi_{tr}^2(x, \tau) \right) e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau, \quad (2.50)$$

and (2.13) still holds true. By differentiation of (2.50), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial W}{\partial t}(x, t) &= -W_0(x) \varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon t} - \varepsilon \kappa e^{-\varepsilon t} \int_0^t \left((a+1) \Phi_{tr}(x, \tau) - \Phi_{tr}^2(x, \tau) \right) e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \kappa \left((a+1) \Phi_{tr}(x, t) - \Phi_{tr}^2(x, t) \right) \implies \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial W}{\partial t}(x, t) \right| dx &\leq C e^{-\varepsilon t} \|W_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + C e^{-\varepsilon t} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left(|\Phi_{tr}(x, \tau)| + |\Phi_{tr}(x, \tau)|^2 \right) e^{\varepsilon \tau} dx d\tau \\ &\quad + C \left(\|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C e^{-\varepsilon t} \left(\|W_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \int_0^t \left(\|\Phi_{tr}(\tau)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|\Phi_{tr}(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \right) \\ &\quad + C \left(\|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

and $\Phi_{tr} \in C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega)]$ implies $\partial W/\partial t \in C^0((0, T), L^1(\Omega))$. Thus the claimed $C^1((0, 1), L^1(\Omega))$ -regularity of W is proved.

Using Lemma 2.3., let us estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial W}{\partial t}(x, t) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} &\leq C \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^T (|\Phi_{tr}(x, \tau)| + |\Phi_{tr}(x, \tau)|^2) d\tau \right)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + C \left(\int_{\Omega} (|\Phi_{tr}(x, t)|^2 + |\Phi_{tr}(x, t)|^4) dx \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} (|\Phi_{tr}(x, \tau)|^2 + |\Phi_{tr}(x, \tau)|^4) dx \right)^{1/2} d\tau \\ &\quad + C \left(\int_{\Omega} (|\Phi_{tr}(x, t)|^2 + |\Phi_{tr}(x, t)|^4) dx \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.55)$$

$$\leq C \left(\|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^1((0, T), L^2(\Omega))} + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2((0, T), L^4(\Omega))}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \right).$$

Consequently, we get

$$\left\| \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)} \leq C \left(\|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + 2 \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)} + 2 \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^2 \right), \quad (2.56)$$

and by (2.13), the right-hand side is finite.

Part 2) Recall now that $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ (as we will see, it would suffice to assume that $W_0 \in L^3(\Omega)$). Then (2.13) and Lemma 2.3. imply

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} W(t)^3 dx \right)^{1/3} \leq C \|W_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)} + C \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^T (|\Phi_{tr}(x, \tau)| + |\Phi_{tr}(x, \tau)|^2) d\tau \right)^3 dx \right)^{1/3} \quad (2.58)$$

$$\leq C \|W_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)} + C \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} (|\Phi_{tr}(x, \tau)|^3 + |\Phi_{tr}(x, \tau)|^6) dx \right)^{1/3} d\tau \quad (2.59)$$

$$\leq C \left(\|W_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)} + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^1((0, T), L^3(\Omega))} + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2((0, T), L^6(\Omega))}^2 \right) \quad (2.60)$$

$$\leq C \left(\|W_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)} + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^3(\Omega_T)} + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2((0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^2 \right) \quad (2.61)$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3 + \|I_i\|_{L^2((0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2((0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^2 \right), \quad (2.62)$$

which confirms that W belongs to $L^\infty[(0, T), L^3(\Omega)]$. In order to confirm that $\|W(\cdot, t)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3$ depends even continuously on t , consider for $s, t \in [0, T]$

$$\left| \|W(s)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3 - \|W(t)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3 \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega} (W(s)^3 - W(t)^3) dx \right| \quad (2.63)$$

$$= \left| \int_{\Omega} (W(s) - W(t)) (W(s)^2 + W(s)W(t) + W(t)^2) dx \right| \quad (2.64)$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |W(s) - W(t)|^3 dx \right)^{1/3} \left(\int_{\Omega} |W(s)^2 + W(s)W(t) + W(t)^2|^3 dx \right)^{2/3}. \quad (2.65)$$

Estimating the first term with Jensen's inequality and Lemma 2.3., we obtain

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |W(s) - W(t)|^3 dx \right)^{1/3} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |W_0 (e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}) \right. \quad (2.66)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \varepsilon \kappa (a+1) e^{-\varepsilon s} \left(\int_0^s \Phi_{tr} e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau - \int_0^t \Phi_{tr} e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \right) + \varepsilon \kappa (a+1) (e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}) \int_0^t \Phi_{tr} e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \\ &- \varepsilon \kappa e^{-\varepsilon s} \left(\int_0^s \Phi_{tr}^2 e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau - \int_0^t \Phi_{tr}^2 e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \right) - \varepsilon \kappa (e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}) \int_0^t \Phi_{tr}^2 e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \Big|^3 dx \Big)^{1/3} \\ \leq C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \|W_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)} + C \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \int_t^s \Phi_{tr} e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \right|^3 dx \right)^{1/3} + C \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \int_t^s \Phi_{tr}^2 e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \right|^3 dx \right)^{1/3} \quad (2.67) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \int_0^t \Phi_{tr} e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \right|^3 dx \right)^{1/3} + C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \int_0^t \Phi_{tr}^2 e^{\varepsilon \tau} d\tau \right|^3 dx \right)^{1/3} \\ \leq C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \|W_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \quad (2.68) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ C |s - t|^{2/3} \left(\left(\int_{\Omega} \int_t^s |\Phi_{tr}|^3 e^{3\varepsilon \tau} d\tau dx \right)^{1/3} + \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_t^s |\Phi_{tr}|^6 e^{3\varepsilon \tau} d\tau dx \right)^{1/3} \right) \\ &+ C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \left(\int_0^t \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^3 e^{3\varepsilon \tau} dx \right)^{1/3} d\tau + \int_0^t \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^6 e^{3\varepsilon \tau} dx \right)^{1/3} d\tau \right) \\ \leq C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \|W_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \quad (2.69) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ C |s - t|^{2/3} \left(\left(\int_{\Omega} \int_0^T |\Phi_{tr}|^3 e^{3\varepsilon T} d\tau dx \right)^{1/3} + \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_0^T |\Phi_{tr}|^6 e^{3\varepsilon T} d\tau dx \right)^{1/3} \right) \\ &+ C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^3 e^{3\varepsilon T} dx \right)^{1/3} d\tau + \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^6 e^{3\varepsilon T} dx \right)^{1/3} d\tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.3. again, we may continue

$$\dots \leq C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \|W_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \quad (2.70)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ C |s - t|^{2/3} \left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^3 dx \right)^{1/3} d\tau + \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^6 dx \right)^{1/3} d\tau \right) \\ &+ C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^3 dx \right)^{1/3} d\tau + \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^6 dx \right)^{1/3} d\tau \right) \\ \leq C |e^{-\varepsilon s} - e^{-\varepsilon t}| \cdot \left(\|W_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)} + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^1[(0, T), L^3(\Omega_T)]} + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2[(0, T), L^6(\Omega)]}^2 \right) \quad (2.71) \\ &+ C |s - t|^{2/3} \cdot \left(\|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^3(\Omega_T)} + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2[(0, T), L^6(\Omega)]}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Estimation of the second term yields:

$$\int_{\Omega} |W(s)^2 + W(s)W(t) + W(t)^2|^3 dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} (|W(s)|^3 + |W(t)|^3) dx. \quad (2.72)$$

Since $W \in L^\infty[(0, T), L^3(\Omega)]$, the second term remains uniformly bounded. Consequently, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we find a $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $|s - t| \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$ implies $|\|W(s)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3 - \|W(t)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3| \leq \varepsilon$, and W belongs to $C^0[0, T], L^3(\Omega)]$. ■

Proof of Proposition 2.5. With the following exception, the proof of Proposition 2.2. may be repeated. In view of Proposition 2.4., 2), we estimate the last summand in (2.37) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{tr} W\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^6 dx \right)^{1/3} \left(\int_{\Omega} |W|^3 dx \right)^{2/3} = \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 \cdot \|W\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \cdot \|W\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \cdot \|W\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.73)$$

Consequently, we arrive at the estimate

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^{4/3}(\Omega_T)}^{4/3} \leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \right), \quad (2.74)$$

and the proof may be continued as above. ■

e) Weak formulation of the bidomain system and known regularity of weak solutions.

The full bidomain system (1.1) – (1.6) can be equivalently stated in a parabolic-elliptic form.¹⁸⁾ To this, the following weak formulation corresponds.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}}{\partial t} \cdot \psi + \nabla \psi^T M_i (\nabla \Phi_{tr} + \nabla \Phi_e) + I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}, W) \psi \right) dx &= \int_{\Omega} I_i \psi dx \\ \forall \psi &\in W^{1,2}(\Omega), \text{ for a. a. } t \in (0, T); \end{aligned} \quad (2.75)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla \psi^T M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr} + \nabla \psi^T (M_i + M_e) \nabla \Phi_e \right) dx &= \int_{\Omega} (I_i + I_e) \psi dx \\ \forall \psi &\in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \text{ with } \int_{\Omega} \psi(x) dx = 0, \text{ for a. a. } t \in (0, T); \end{aligned} \quad (2.76)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + G(\Phi_{tr}, W) \right) \psi dx = 0 \quad \forall \psi \in L^2(\Omega), \text{ for a. a. } t \in (0, T); \quad (2.77)$$

$$\Phi_{tr}(x, 0) = \Phi_0(x) \quad \text{and} \quad W(x, 0) = W_0(x) \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \Omega. \quad (2.78)$$

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2., the system (2.75) – (2.78) with either the FitzHugh-Nagumo, the Rogers-McCulloch or the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model admits for arbitrary initial values $\Phi_0, W_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and inhomogeneities $I_i, I_e \in L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]$, which satisfy the compatibility condition

$$\int_{\Omega} (I_i(x, t) + I_e(x, t)) dx = 0 \quad \text{for a. a. } t \in (0, T), \quad (2.79)$$

at least one weak solution¹⁹⁾

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_{tr}, \Phi_e, W) &\in \left(C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega) \right] \cap L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)] \cap L^4(\Omega_T) \\ &\times L^2[(0, T), W^{2,2}(\Omega)] \times C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega) \end{aligned} \quad (2.80)$$

¹⁸⁾ [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A], p. 954, (2.1) – (2.6).

¹⁹⁾ [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A], p. 958, Theorem 2.5.

with $\int_{\Omega} \Phi_e(x, t) dx = 0$ for almost all $t \in (0, T)$. Any weak solution obeys the a-priori estimate²⁰⁾

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2((0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^4 + \|\partial\Phi_{tr}/\partial t\|_{L^{4/3}((0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^{4/3} \\ & + \|\Phi_e\|_{L^2((0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^2 + \|W\|_{C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\partial W/\partial t\|_{L^2((0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^2 \\ & \leq C \cdot \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_i\|_{L^2((0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2((0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.81)$$

with a constant $C > 0$ not depending on Φ_0 , W_0 , I_i and I_e . It turns out that, under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2., a triple (Φ_{tr}, Φ_e, W) forms a weak solution of the bidomain system (2.75) – (2.78) iff the pair (Φ_{tr}, W) solves the *reduced bidomain system*²¹⁾

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial\Phi_{tr}}{\partial t} + I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}(t), W(t)) \right) \psi dx + \mathcal{A}(\Phi_{tr}(t), \psi) = \int_{\Omega} S(t) \psi dx \quad (2.82)$$

$$\forall \psi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \text{ for a. a. } t \in (0, T);$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + G(\Phi_{tr}, W) \right) \psi dx = 0 \quad \forall \psi \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ for a. a. } t \in (0, T) \quad (2.83)$$

$$\Phi_{tr}(x, 0) = \Phi_0(x) \quad \text{and} \quad W(x, 0) = W_0(x) \quad \text{for a. a. } x \in \Omega. \quad (2.84)$$

where $\mathcal{A}: W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the *bidomain bilinear form*, and $S(t)$ is defined with the aid of I_i and I_e , cf. [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A], p. 956 f., (2.22) – (2.25). The structure of the reduced bidomain system is in complete analogy to those of the monodomain system, and the respective solutions obey the same type of a-priori estimates. Consequently, the regularity of Φ_{tr} and W within a weak solution (Φ_{tr}, Φ_e, W) of (2.75) – (2.78) can be improved in the same way as in Subsections 2.c) and d). The most important regularity property of Φ_{tr} , however, is stated again in Theorem 1.5., 2).

f) Bidomain system with Rogers-McCulloch model: improvement of regularity for the weak solutions.

Proposition 2.6. (Gain of regularity for the gating variable) *Consider the bidomain system (2.75) – (2.79) with the Rogers-McCulloch model under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2., and let a weak solution (Φ_{tr}, Φ_e, W) of it correspond to initial values $\Phi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ and right-hand sides $I_i, I_e \in L^2((0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)$, thus belonging to the spaces in (2.80).*

1) *Then W belongs to $C^1((0, T), L^2(\Omega))$.*

2) *Moreover, $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ implies that W belongs even to $C^0([0, T], L^4(\Omega))$, and it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|W\|_{C^0[0, T], L^4(\Omega)}^4 & \leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|I_i\|_{L^2((0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2((0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.85)$$

Proof. Since the proof of Proposition 2.1. relies exclusively on the structure of the weak gating equation, which is the same in the monodomain and the reduced bidomain system, as well as on the a-priori estimate for W , we may carry over the argumentation without alterations. ■

²⁰⁾ [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A], p. 958, Theorem 2.6.

²¹⁾ [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A], p. 956 f., Theorem 2.4., 1).

Note that Part 1) of Proposition 2.6. holds already true for $W_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$.

g) Bidomain system with linearized Aliev-Panfilov model: improvement of regularity for the weak solutions.

Proposition 2.7. (Gain of regularity for the gating variable) *Consider the bidomain system (2.75) – (2.79) with the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2., and let a weak solution (Φ_{tr}, Φ_e, W) of it correspond to initial values $\Phi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ and right-hand sides $I_i, I_e \in L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]$, thus belonging to the spaces in (2.80).*

1) Then W belongs to $C^1[(0, 1), L^1(\Omega)]$.

2) Moreover, $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ implies that W belongs even to $C^0[[0, T], L^3(\Omega)]$, and it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|W\|_{C^0[[0, T], L^3(\Omega)]}^3 &\leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|I_i\|_{L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.86)$$

Proof. The proof of Proposition 2.4. may be repeated without changes. ■

Even here, Part 1) of Proposition 2.8. holds true for $W_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$.

h) Proof of Theorem 1.5.

Part 1) Within the monodomain system, we specify the Rogers-McCulloch model.

• **Step 1.** An estimate for $|\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2|$. Inserting into equation (2.9) the feasible test function $\psi = \Phi_{tr}(t) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and applying the lower estimate for the monodomain form, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \Phi_{tr}(t), \Phi_{tr}(t) \right\rangle + \mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}(t), \Phi_{tr}(t)) &= - \int_{\Omega} I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}(t), W(t)) \Phi_{tr}(t) dx \\ &\quad + \left\langle \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \left(\lambda (I_i(t) - I_e(t)) \right), \Phi_{tr}(t) \right\rangle \implies \end{aligned} \quad (2.87)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \beta \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 &\leq - \int_{\Omega} \left(b(\Phi_{tr})^4 - (a+1)b(\Phi_{tr})^3 + ab(\Phi_{tr})^2 + (\Phi_{tr})^2 W \right) dx \\ &\quad + \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \left(\lambda I_i - I_e \right), \Phi_{tr} \right\rangle \right| + \beta \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \implies \end{aligned} \quad (2.88)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \beta \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 &\leq C \int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^2 (|\Phi_{tr}| + |W|) dx + \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \left(\lambda I_i - I_e \right), \Phi_{tr} \right\rangle \right| + \beta \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.89)$$

In order to estimate the first term on the right-hand side, we apply the generalized Cauchy inequality, thus obtaining

$$C \int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^2 (|\Phi_{tr}| + |W|) dx \leq C \varepsilon_1(t) \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_1(t)} \left(\|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \quad (2.90)$$

for arbitrary $\varepsilon_1(t) > 0$. Specifying within (2.90) $\varepsilon_1(t) = \varepsilon'_1 / (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2)$, we may continue

$$C \int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^2 (|\Phi_{tr}| + |W|) dx \leq C \varepsilon'_1 \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) \left(\|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \quad (2.91)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \varepsilon'_1 \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) \left(\|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\ &\leq C \varepsilon'_1 \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) \left(\|\Phi_{tr}\|_{C^0[[0, T], L^2(\Omega)]}^2 + \|W\|_{C^0[[0, T], L^2(\Omega)]}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.92)$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon'_1 \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \quad (2.93)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) \cdot \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2\right) \\ & \leq C \varepsilon'_1 \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) \cdot \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2R^2\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.94)$$

where (2.13) and the additional regularity of I_i and I_e have been employed. The generalized Cauchy inequality will be applied to the second term as well, thus getting

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{1+\lambda} (\lambda I_i - I_e), \Phi_{tr} \right\rangle \right| & \leq \left\| \frac{1}{1+\lambda} (\lambda I_i - I_e) \right\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*} \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon'_2 \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_2} \left(\|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.95)$$

with arbitrary $\varepsilon'_2 > 0$. The third term is estimated again by

$$\beta \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{C^0[0,T], L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad (2.96)$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2\right) \quad (2.97)$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2R^2\right), \quad (2.98)$$

using the bound $R > 0$ for the norms of I_i and I_e . Combining (2.94), (2.95) and (2.98), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \beta \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 & \leq C \varepsilon'_1 \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2R^2\right) \\ & + C \varepsilon'_2 \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_2} \left(\|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2R^2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.99)$$

Observing that $1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2R^2 \leq C$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \beta \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 & \leq C + C (\varepsilon'_1 + \varepsilon'_2) \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \\ & + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_2} \left(\|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.100)$$

Choosing now $\varepsilon'_1, \varepsilon'_2 > 0$ in such a way that the terms with $\|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2$ on both sides of (2.100) annihilate, we obtain the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) + C \left(\|I_i(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_e(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right). \quad (2.101)$$

Inserting now the reverse *test function* $\psi = -\Phi_{tr}(t)$ into (2.9), we get instead

$$-\left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \Phi_{tr}(t), \Phi_{tr}(t) \right\rangle - \mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}(t), \Phi_{tr}(t)) = \int_{\Omega} I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}(t), W(t)) \Phi_{tr}(t) dx \quad (2.102)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left\langle \frac{1}{1+\lambda} (\lambda (I_i(t) - I_e(t))), \Phi_{tr}(t) \right\rangle \implies \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \beta \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \geq \int_{\Omega} \left(b(\Phi_{tr})^4 - (a+1)b(\Phi_{tr})^3 + ab(\Phi_{tr})^2 + (\Phi_{tr})^2 W \right) dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.103)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{1+\lambda} (\lambda I_i - I_e), \Phi_{tr} \right\rangle \right| - \beta \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \implies \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \beta \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.104)$$

$$\geq -C \int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}|^2 (|\Phi_{tr}| + |W|) dx - \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{1+\lambda} (\lambda I_i - I_e), \Phi_{tr} \right\rangle \right| - \beta \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Using again (2.94), (2.95) and (2.98), (2.104) implies the reverse inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \beta \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \\
& \geq -C\varepsilon'_1 \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2R^2\right) \\
& - C\varepsilon'_2 \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_2} \left(\|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) - C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2R^2\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.105}$$

and we may choose again $\varepsilon'_1, \varepsilon'_2 > 0$ in such a way that the summands with $-\|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2$ annihilate. Thus (2.101) is reversed as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq -C(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) - C \left(\|I_i(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_e(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right), \tag{2.106}$$

and we arrive at the desired estimate

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right| \leq C(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) + C \left(\|I_i(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_e(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right). \tag{2.107}$$

• **Step 2.** *An estimate for $\|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4([0,T], W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^4$.* We return to (2.100) and choose now $\varepsilon'_1, \varepsilon'_2 > 0$ in such a way that $C\varepsilon'_1 + C\varepsilon'_2 = \beta/2$. Then (2.100) and (2.107) imply

$$\frac{\beta}{2} \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C + C(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) + C \left(\|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) \tag{2.108}$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right| + C(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) + C \left(\|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) \tag{2.109}$$

$$\leq 2C(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) + 2C \left(\|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right). \tag{2.110}$$

Consequently, we find

$$\|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4([0,T], W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^4 = \int_0^T \|\Phi_{tr}(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^4 dt \tag{2.111}$$

$$\leq C \int_0^T \left(1 + 2\|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4\right) dt + C \int_0^T \left(\|I_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4 + \|I_e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4\right) dt \tag{2.112}$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^4 + \|I_i\|_{L^4([0,T], L^2(\Omega))}^4 + \|I_e\|_{L^4([0,T], L^2(\Omega))}^4\right), \tag{2.113}$$

and the right-hand side is bounded by assumption about I_i, I_e and (2.13).

• **Step 3.** *The other ionic models.* If the Rogers-McCulloch model is replaced by the FitzHugh-Nagumo or the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model, all arguments may be repeated since (2.13) holds still true, I_{ion} admits the same or an analogous structure, and the gating equation is not involved.

Part 2) We consider the bidomain system with either the Rogers-McCulloch, the FitzHugh-Nagumo or the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model and rely on the a-priori estimate (2.81) and the reduced system (2.82) – (2.84) instead of (2.13) and (2.9) – (2.11). Since both systems and estimates admit an identical structure, we may repeat all arguments from Part 1 provided that an analogue of (2.95) can be proven. Indeed, let us recall that the linear functionals $S(t): W^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in (2.82) are defined through²²⁾

$$\langle S(t), \psi \rangle = \langle I_i(t), \psi \rangle - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \bar{\psi}_e^T M_i \nabla \psi dx \quad \forall \psi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \tag{2.114}$$

²²⁾ Cf. [BOURGAULT/COUDIÈRE/PIERRE 09], p. 464, Definition 5.

where $\bar{\psi}_e \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is the uniquely determined solution of the variational equation

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \bar{\psi}_e^T (M_i + M_e) \nabla \varphi \, dx = \langle I_i(t) + I_e(t), \varphi \rangle \quad \forall \varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad (2.115)$$

which satisfies $\int_{\Omega} \bar{\psi}_e(x, t) \, dx = 0$ ($\forall t \in (0, T)$). Repeating now the arguments from [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A], p. 960 f., Proof of Lemma 2.9., we insert the solution $\bar{\psi}_e \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ itself as a test function into (2.115) and find by application of the Poincaré inequality²³⁾ and the generalized Cauchy inequality (2.116)

$$\begin{aligned} C \|\bar{\psi}_e\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \int_{\Omega} \nabla \bar{\psi}_e^T (M_i + M_e) \nabla \bar{\psi}_e \, dx = \langle I_i(t) + I_e(t), \bar{\psi}_e \rangle \leq |\langle I_i(t), \bar{\psi}_e \rangle| + |\langle I_e(t), \bar{\psi}_e \rangle| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\tilde{\varepsilon}_1} \left(\|I_i(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 + \|I_e(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 \right) + \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_1}{2} \|\bar{\psi}_e\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.117)$$

for arbitrary $\tilde{\varepsilon}_1 > 0$. Using the continuous imbedding $L^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*$ and specifying $\tilde{\varepsilon}_1 = C/2$, we arrive after a normalization of the constants at

$$\|\bar{\psi}_e\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \left(\|I_i(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_e(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right). \quad (2.118)$$

Going back to (2.114) and inserting the feasible test function $\psi = \Phi_{tr}(t)$ into this equation, we estimate

$$|\langle S(t), \Phi_{tr} \rangle| \leq |\langle I_i(t), \Phi_{tr} \rangle| + |\langle \nabla \bar{\psi}_e^T, M_i \nabla \Phi_{tr} \rangle| \quad (2.119)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\tilde{\varepsilon}_2} \|I_i(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_2}{2} \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\varepsilon}_3} \|\bar{\psi}_e\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_3}{2} \|M_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \cdot \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2, \quad (2.120)$$

which holds true for arbitrary $\tilde{\varepsilon}_2, \tilde{\varepsilon}_3 > 0$. Specifying $\tilde{\varepsilon}_2 \tilde{\varepsilon}_3 = \varepsilon'_2$ with arbitrary $\varepsilon'_2 > 0$ and normalizing the constants in an appropriate way, (2.118) and (2.120) yield the desired estimate

$$|\langle S(t), \Phi_{tr} \rangle| \leq C \varepsilon'_2 \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_2} \left(\|I_i(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_e(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right), \quad (2.121)$$

and the proof is complete. ■

3. Correction of the proof of the stability estimate.

a) Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Part A. The Rogers-McCulloch model. Let us specify within (2.9) – (2.11) the Rogers-McCulloch model. The proof, however, has been organized in such a way that the estimates work in the case of the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model as well. Throughout the following, C denotes a generical positive constant, which may appropriately change from line to line. C will never depend on the data Φ_0, W_0, I_i and I_e but, possibly, on Ω and $p = 4$.

• **Step 1.** *The difference of the parabolic equations.* From the parabolic equations, satisfied by the pairs (Φ_{tr}', W') and (Φ_{tr}'', W'') for almost all $t \in [0, T]$, we obtain the difference

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \frac{d}{dt} (\Phi_{tr}'(t) - \Phi_{tr}''(t)), \psi \right\rangle + \mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}'(t) - \Phi_{tr}''(t), \psi) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \left(I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}'(t), W'(t)) - I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}''(t), W''(t)) \right) \psi \, dx \\ &\quad = \left\langle \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \left(\lambda (I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)) - (I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)) \right), \psi \right\rangle \quad \forall \psi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

²³⁾ Together with the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem, [EVANS 98], p. 275, Theorem 1, holds true even on a bounded strongly Lipschitz domain, cf. [ADAMS/FOURNIER 07], p. 168, Theorem 6.3. Note that $\bar{\psi}_e$ admits a zero spatial mean.

Inserting into (3.1) the feasible test function $\psi = \Phi_{tr}'(t) - \Phi_{tr}''(t) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and applying the lower estimate for the monodomain bilinear form, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \beta \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} \left(I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}', W') - I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}'', W'') \right) (\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'') dx \\ \leq \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \left(\lambda (I_i' - I_i'') - (I_e' - I_e'') \right), \Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'' \right\rangle \right| + \beta \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

The first term on the right-hand side will be estimated with the help of the generalized Cauchy inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \left(\lambda (I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)) - (I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)) \right), \Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'' \right\rangle \right| \\ \leq \left\| \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \left(\lambda (I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)) - (I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)) \right) \right\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\leq \varepsilon_1' \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_1'} \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 \right) \quad (3.4)$$

with arbitrary $\varepsilon_1' > 0$. For the term with the difference of the ionic currents on the left-hand side, we get a lower estimate with the help of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For all $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, the following identity holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_1^3 - (a+1)\varphi_1^2 + a\varphi_1) - (\varphi_2^3 - (a+1)\varphi_2^2 + a\varphi_2) \\ = (\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \cdot (\varphi_1^2 + \varphi_1\varphi_2 + \varphi_2^2 - (a+1)(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) + a). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Consequently, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left(I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}', W') - I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}'', W'') \right) (\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'') dx \\ = \int_{\Omega} (\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'') b \left((\Phi_{tr}')^2 + \Phi_{tr}'\Phi_{tr}'' + (\Phi_{tr}'')^2 + a \right) (\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'') dx \\ - (a+1)b \int_{\Omega} (\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'') (\Phi_{tr}' + \Phi_{tr}'') (\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'') dx + \int_{\Omega} (\Phi_{tr}'W' - \Phi_{tr}''W'') (\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'') dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Since $\Phi_{tr}'(x, t)^2 + \Phi_{tr}'(x, t)\Phi_{tr}''(x, t) + \Phi_{tr}''(x, t)^2 \geq 0$ for almost all $(x, t) \in \Omega_T$ and $a, b > 0$, the inequalities (3.2), (3.4) and (3.6) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\beta \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \\ \leq 2C \int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''| \cdot |\Phi_{tr}' + \Phi_{tr}''| \cdot |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''| dx \\ + 2 \int_{\Omega} (\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'') W' (\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'') dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} (W' - W'') \Phi_{tr}'' (\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'') dx \\ + \varepsilon_1' \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + 2\beta \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_1'} \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Now it must be emphasized that (3.7) holds parametrically in t for almost all fixed $t \in (0, T)$. In the subsequent applications of the generalized Cauchy inequality this will become important since the parameters ε_i introduced there must be chosen in a time-dependent way.

We apply first the generalized Cauchy inequality with $\varepsilon_2(t) > 0$ and subsequently Hölder's inequality to the first term on the right-hand side of (3.7), thus getting

$$2C \int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}'(t) - \Phi_{tr}''(t)| \cdot |\Phi_{tr}'(t) + \Phi_{tr}''(t)| \cdot |\Phi_{tr}'(t) - \Phi_{tr}''(t)| dx$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon_2(t) \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}' + \Phi_{tr}''|^4 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \right)^{1/2} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_2(t)} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad (3.8)$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon_2(t) \left(\|\Phi_{tr}'\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \right) \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_2(t)} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \quad (3.9)$$

Inserting now $\varepsilon_2(t) = \varepsilon_2' / (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}'(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2)$ with arbitrary $\varepsilon_2' > 0$, we get

$$\dots \leq C \varepsilon_2' \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_2'} \left(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}'\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \right) \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \quad (3.10)$$

In order to estimate the second term on the right-hand side of (3.7), let us write

$$2 \int_{\Omega} |W'| \cdot |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''|^2 dx = 2 \int_{\Omega} \left(|W'|^{2/3} \cdot |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''| \right) \left(|W'|^{1/3} \cdot |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''| \right) dx \quad (3.11)$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon_3(t) \int_{\Omega} |W'|^{4/3} \cdot |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''|^2 dx + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_3(t)} \int_{\Omega} |W'|^{2/3} \cdot |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''|^2 dx \quad (3.12)$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon_3(t) \left(\int_{\Omega} |W'|^{8/3} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''|^4 dx \right)^{1/2} \quad (3.13)$$

$$+ \frac{C}{\varepsilon_3(t)} \left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_3(t) \int_{\Omega} |W'|^{4/3} \cdot |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''|^2 dx + \frac{C}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_3(t)} \int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''|^2 dx \right)$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon_3(t) \left(\int_{\Omega} |W'|^{8/3} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''|^4 dx \right)^{1/2} \quad (3.14)$$

$$+ \frac{C \tilde{\varepsilon}_3(t)}{\varepsilon_3(t)} \left(\int_{\Omega} |W'|^{8/3} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''|^4 dx \right)^{1/2} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_3(t) \tilde{\varepsilon}_3(t)} \int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''|^2 dx$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon_3(t) \|W'\|_{L^{8/3}(\Omega)}^{4/3} \cdot \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \quad (3.15)$$

$$+ \frac{C \tilde{\varepsilon}_3(t)}{\varepsilon_3(t)} \|W'\|_{L^{8/3}(\Omega)}^{4/3} \cdot \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_3(t) \tilde{\varepsilon}_3(t)} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

By Proposition 2.1., 2), W' belongs to $C^0([0, T], L^4(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow L^\infty((0, T), L^{8/3}(\Omega))$. Then with $\varepsilon_3(t) = \varepsilon_3'$, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_3(t) = \varepsilon_3''$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |W'| \cdot |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''|^2 dx \leq C \left(\varepsilon_3' + \frac{\varepsilon_3''}{\varepsilon_3'} \right) \|W'\|_{C^0([0, T], L^4(\Omega))}^{4/3} \cdot \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \quad (3.16)$$

$$+ \frac{C}{\varepsilon_3' \varepsilon_3''} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

For the third term from the right-hand side of (3.7), we find

$$2 \int_{\Omega} (W' - W'') \Phi_{tr}'' (\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'') dx$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon_4(t) \int_{\Omega} (\Phi_{tr}'')^2 |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''|^2 dx + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_4(t)} \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad (3.17)$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon_4(t) \left(\int_{\Omega} (\Phi_{tr}'')^4 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \right)^{1/2} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_4(t)} \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad (3.18)$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon_4(t) \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_4(t)} \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad (3.19)$$

using the (noncompact but) continuous imbedding $W^{1,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^6(\Omega)$ and applying again the generalized Cauchy inequality with $\varepsilon_4(t) > 0$. Specifying now $\varepsilon_4(t) = \varepsilon'_4 / (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}''(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2)$ with arbitrary $\varepsilon'_4 > 0$, we may continue

$$\dots \leq C \varepsilon'_4 \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_4} \left(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}''(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2\right) \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \quad (3.20)$$

Assembling now (3.7), (3.10), (3.16) and (3.20), we arrive at the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\beta \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 & \\ \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(\|I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 + \|I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 \right) & \\ + \varepsilon'_1 \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + 2\beta \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 & \\ + C \varepsilon'_2 \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_2} \left(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}'\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2\right) \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 & \\ + C \left(\varepsilon'_3 + \frac{\varepsilon''_3}{\varepsilon'_3} \right) \cdot \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_3 \varepsilon''_3} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 & \\ + C \varepsilon'_4 \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_4} \left(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2\right) \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. & \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Now we may fix the numbers $\varepsilon'_1, \varepsilon'_2, \varepsilon'_3, \varepsilon''_3, \varepsilon'_4 > 0$ in such a way that the terms with $\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2$ on both sides of (3.21) will be annihilated. We arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq C \left(2\beta + \frac{1}{\varepsilon'_2} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}'\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon'_3 \varepsilon''_3} \right) \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &+ \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_4} \left(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2\right) \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &+ \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(\|I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 + \|I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

• **Step 2.** *The difference of the gating equations.* Inserting into the difference of the gating equations for (Φ_{tr}', W') and (Φ_{tr}'', W'') ,

$$\left\langle \frac{d}{dt} (W'(t) - W''(t)), \psi \right\rangle = -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (W'(t) - W''(t)) \psi \, dx + \varepsilon \kappa \int_{\Omega} (\Phi_{tr}'(t) - \Phi_{tr}''(t)) \psi \, dx \quad (3.23)$$

$\forall \psi \in L^2(\Omega),$

the feasible test function $\psi = W'(t) - W''(t)$ and applying Cauchy's inequality to the second term, we get the estimate²⁴⁾

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2(2\varepsilon + \varepsilon \kappa) \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\varepsilon \kappa \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \quad (3.24)$$

• **Step 3.** *The estimates for the differences* $\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^\infty[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^2, \|W' - W''\|_{L^2[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^2$ *and* $\|W' - W''\|_{L^\infty[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^2$. After enlarging and equalizing the factors on the right-hand sides, the

²⁴⁾ Note that here $\varepsilon > 0$ is the given one from (2.5).

inequalities (3.22) and (3.24) yield together

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \quad (3.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \left(2\beta + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_2'} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}'(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_3' \varepsilon_3''} + 2\varepsilon\kappa \right) \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &+ C \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_4'} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}''(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) + 4\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon\kappa \right) \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &+ \frac{C}{\varepsilon_1'} \left(\|I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 + \|I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 \right) \implies \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) &\leq A(t) \cdot \left(\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \quad (3.26) \\ &+ \frac{C}{\varepsilon_1'} \left(\|I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 + \|I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(t) = C \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_2'} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}'(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_3' \varepsilon_3''} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_4'} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}''(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) \right). \quad (3.27)$$

Gronwall's inequality implies now that

$$\|\Phi_{tr}'(t) - \Phi_{tr}''(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W'(t) - W''(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq e^{\int_0^t A(s) ds} \left(\|\Phi_{tr}'(0) - \Phi_{tr}''(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \quad (3.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \|W'(0) - W''(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_1'} \int_0^t \left(\|I_i'(\tau) - I_i''(\tau)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 + \|I_e'(\tau) - I_e''(\tau)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 \right) d\tau \\ &\leq e^{\tilde{A}} \frac{C}{\varepsilon_1'} \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

with

$$\tilde{A} = \int_0^T A(s) ds = CT + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_2'} \left(T + \int_0^T (\|\Phi_{tr}'(s)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''(s)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) ds \right) \quad (3.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \frac{CT}{\varepsilon_3' \varepsilon_3''} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_4'} \left(T + \int_0^T \|\Phi_{tr}''(s)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 ds \right) \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}'\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^4 + \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^4 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|I_i'\|_{L^\infty[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e'\|_{L^\infty[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|I_i''\|_{L^\infty[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e''\|_{L^\infty[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 4R^2 \right) \quad (3.33)$$

by (2.13) and the assumption about the uniform bound $R > 0$ for the norms of the inhomogeneities. Summing up, we obtain from inequality (3.29) the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^\infty[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^2 &\leq e^{\tilde{A}} \frac{C}{\varepsilon_1'} \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right); \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|W' - W''\|_{L^\infty[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^2 &\leq e^{\tilde{A}} \frac{C}{\varepsilon_1'} \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right); \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|W' - W''\|_{L^2[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^2 &\leq T e^{\tilde{A}} \frac{C}{\varepsilon_1'} \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

• **Step 4.** The estimate for the difference $\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2[(0,T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]}^2$. In (3.21), the numbers $\varepsilon'_1, \dots, \varepsilon'_4 > 0$ may be alternatively chosen in such a way that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \beta \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 &\leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon'_2} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}'\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon'_3 \varepsilon'_4} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_4} (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &+ \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(\|I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 + \|I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

This implies the following modification of (3.26):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + \beta \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \\ \leq A(t) \cdot \left(\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\ + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(\|I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 + \|I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Together with (3.34) and (3.35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + \beta \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \\ \leq 2A(t) e^{\tilde{A}} \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right) \\ + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(\|I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 + \|I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

We integrate (3.39) over $[0, T]$ and find, inserting the identical initial values

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{tr}'(T) - \Phi_{tr}''(T)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W'(T) - W''(T)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \beta \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2[(0,T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]}^2 \\ \leq C \int_0^T A(t) dt \cdot \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right) \\ + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right) \\ \leq C \left(\tilde{A} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon'_1} \right) \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

This implies the desired estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2[(0,T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]}^2 \\ \leq \frac{C}{\beta} \left(\tilde{A} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon'_1} \right) \left(\|I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

• **Step 5.** The estimate for the difference $\|W' - W''\|_{W^{1,2}[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^2$. Into equation (2.21), we insert the test function $\psi = (\partial W'(t)/\partial t) - (\partial W''(t)/\partial t)$ which, by Proposition 2.1., 1), belongs to $L^2(\Omega_T)$ and is therefore admissible. Then we get with the generalized Cauchy inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t} \right\rangle &= \left\| \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \varepsilon'_5 \left\| \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon'_5} \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon'_6 \left\| \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon \kappa}{2\varepsilon'_6} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

for arbitrary $\varepsilon'_5, \varepsilon'_6 > 0$. Fixing the numbers ε_5 and ε_6 in such a way that $2\varepsilon\varepsilon'_5 + 2\varepsilon\kappa\varepsilon'_6 = 1/2$, we find together with (3.34) and (3.35):

$$\left\| \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon'_5} \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon\kappa}{2\varepsilon'_6} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad (3.44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right) \implies \\ &\left\| \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^2 \leq CT \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

Combining (3.45) with (3.36), we get finally:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|W' - W''\|_{W^{1,2}[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^2 \\ &\leq C \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

• **Step 6.** Since we already know from (2.12) that Φ_{tr}' and Φ_{tr}'' belong even to $C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega)$, the norm $\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^\infty[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}$ may be replaced by $\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega)}$ on the left-hand side of (3.34). Note that (3.46) implies a bound for $\|W' - W''\|_{C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega)}$ as well, and the proof is complete.

Part B. The FitzHugh-Nagumo model. If the Rogers-McCulloch model is replaced by the FitzHugh-Nagumo model then the proof can be repeated with some obvious modifications.

Part C. The linearized Aliev-Panfilov model. Let us specify now the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model instead of the Rogers-McCulloch model. Then Proposition 2.4., 2) ensures that W', W'' belong still to $C^0[0, T], L^3(\Omega)$.

• **Step 1.** Since Proposition 2.4., 2) ensures that W', W'' belong still to $C^0[0, T], L^3(\Omega)$, Step 1 from the proof of Theorem 1.1. can be taken over without alterations.

• **Step 2.** The estimates (3.23) ff. must be replaced as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} (W'(t) - W''(t)), \psi \right\rangle &= -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (W'(t) - W''(t)) \psi \, dx + \varepsilon\kappa(a+1) \int_{\Omega} (\Phi_{tr}'(t) - \Phi_{tr}''(t)) \psi \, dx \\ &\quad - \varepsilon\kappa \int_{\Omega} (\Phi_{tr}'(t) + \Phi_{tr}''(t)) (\Phi_{tr}'(t) - \Phi_{tr}''(t)) \psi \, dx \quad \forall \psi \in L^2(\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

Inserting the feasible test function $\psi = W'(t) - W''(t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) &\leq 2\varepsilon \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\varepsilon\kappa(a+1) \left(\|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon_8(t) \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}' + \Phi_{tr}''|^4 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 \right)^{1/2} + \frac{2C}{\varepsilon_8(t)} \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

Inserting now $\varepsilon_8(t) = \varepsilon'_8 / (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}'(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2)$ with arbitrary $\varepsilon'_8 > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\dots \leq 2\varepsilon \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\varepsilon\kappa(a+1) \left(\|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon'_8 \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_8} \left(1 + \|\Phi_{tr}'\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \right) \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

which, after an appropriate choice of $\varepsilon'_8 > 0$, allows to continue the estimations as above.

• **Step 3.** In the case of the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model, we get from (3.29)

$$\dots \leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^3 + 4R^2 \right) \quad (3.50)$$

instead of (3.33).

• **Step 4.** This step can be taken over without changes.

• **Step 5.** Instead of (3.43), we find by inserting the feasible test function $\psi = (\partial W'(t)/\partial t) - (\partial W''(t)/\partial t)$ into (3.47)

$$\left\| \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \varepsilon \varepsilon'_9 \left\| \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C \varepsilon}{\varepsilon'_9} \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad (3.51)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + C \varepsilon \kappa (a+1) \left(\varepsilon'_{10} \left\| \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon'_{10}} (a+1) \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\ & + C \varepsilon'_{11} \left\| \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_{11}} \int_{\Omega} (\Phi_{tr}'(t) + \Phi_{tr}''(t))^2 (\Phi_{tr}'(t) - \Phi_{tr}''(t))^2 dx \\ & \leq C (\varepsilon'_9 + \varepsilon'_{10} + \varepsilon'_{11}) \left\| \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_9} \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_{10}} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_{11}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (\Phi_{tr}'(t) + \Phi_{tr}''(t))^4 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} (\Phi_{tr}'(t) - \Phi_{tr}''(t))^4 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C (\varepsilon'_9 + \varepsilon'_{10} + \varepsilon'_{11}) \left\| \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_9} \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_{10}} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_{11}} (\|\Phi_{tr}'\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) \cdot \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

Choosing ε'_9 , ε'_{10} and $\varepsilon'_{11} > 0$ sufficiently small, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_9} \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_{10}} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad (3.54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_{11}} (\|\Phi_{tr}'\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) \cdot \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \implies \\ & \int_0^T \left\| \frac{\partial W'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W''}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_9} \int_0^T \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_{10}} \int_0^T \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \\ & + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_{11}} \left(\int_0^T (\|\Phi_{tr}'\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 + \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4) dt \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\int_0^T \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 dt \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

and the estimates may be continued as before.

• **Step 6.** Now the proof can be finished as above. ■

b) Proof of Theorem 1.2.

The proof of Theorem 1.2. relies on the complete structural equivalence of the weak bidomain system (2.75) – (2.79) and the reduced bidomain system (2.82) – (2.84). The monodomain form $\mathcal{M}$ and the bidomain form $\mathcal{A}$ satisfy analogous norm estimates, the weak solutions of both systems obey the same type of a-priori estimates, and [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A], p. 959 f., Lemma 2.9., yields for arbitrary $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle S'(t) - S''(t), \psi \rangle| \\ & \leq \frac{C}{2\varepsilon_0} \left(\|I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 + \|I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 \right) + \frac{3\varepsilon_0}{4} \|\psi\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

for the difference of the right-hand sides where the constant $C > 0$ does not depend on ε_0 , I_i and I_e and even not on Φ_0 and W_0 . Consequently, we may carry over Steps 1 – 6 from the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof of the estimate for $\|\Phi_e' - \Phi_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]}^2$ from the difference of the elliptic equations was not influenced by the error to be corrected. Thus we may take over the respective step from [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A], pp. 971 ff., (2.117) – (2.125). ■

c) Proof of Theorems 1.3. and 1.4.

The stability estimates from Theorems 1.1. and 1.2. yield immediately uniqueness of weak solutions corresponding to right-hand sides and initial values of the assumed regularity. ■

d) Remarks and corollaries.

Within the previous statements of Theorems 1.1. and 1.2., we included an estimate for the norm difference $\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,q}[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}$ with certain $q > 1$. However, the subsequent analysis of the optimal control problems could be performed without using an estimate of this type. Nevertheless, for sake of completeness we provide its correction here.

Corollary 3.2.²⁵⁾ *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1., let two weak solutions (Φ_{tr}', W') , (Φ_{tr}'', W'') of the monodomain system correspond to initial values $\Phi_0' = \Phi_0'' = \Phi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $W_0' = W_0'' = W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ and inhomogeneities I_i', I_e', I_i'' and $I_e'' \in L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]$, whose norms are bounded by $R > 0$. Then the following estimate holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,1}[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]} & \leq C \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

The constant $C > 0$ does not depend on I_i', I_e', I_i'' and I_e'' but possibly on Ω , R , Φ_0 and W_0 .

Corollary 3.3.²⁶⁾ *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2., let two weak solutions $(\Phi_{tr}', \Phi_e', W')$, $(\Phi_{tr}'', \Phi_e'', W'')$ of the bidomain system correspond to initial values $\Phi_0' = \Phi_0'' = \Phi_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $W_0' = W_0'' = W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ and inhomogeneities I_i', I_e', I_i'' and $I_e'' \in L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]$, which satisfy the compatibility conditions (1.14), and whose norms are bounded by $R > 0$. Then the following estimate holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,1}[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]} & \leq C \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5, 58)$$

The constant $C > 0$ does not depend on I_i', I_e', I_i'' and I_e'' but possibly on Ω , R , Φ_0 and W_0 .

Proof of Corollary 3.2. First, let us specify within the monodomain system (2.9) – (2.11) the Rogers-McCulloch model. We start with

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}'(t)}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}''(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*} & \leq \left\| \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \left(\lambda (I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)) - (I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)) \right) \right\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*} \quad (3.59) \\ & + \left\| \mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'', \cdot) \right\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*} + \left\| I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}', W') - I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}'', W'') \right\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*} \implies \end{aligned}$$

²⁵⁾ Additional correction of [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12], p. 1533, Theorem 3.8.

²⁶⁾ Additional correction of [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A], p. 959, Theorem 2.7., and [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B], p. 1082, Theorem 2.4.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \left\| \frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}''}{\partial t} \right\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*} dt \\
& \leq C \left(\int_0^T \left\| \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \left(\lambda (I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)) - (I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)) \right) \right\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\
& + C \left(\int_0^T \sup_{\psi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)} |\mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'', \psi)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + C \int_0^T \|I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}', W') - I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}'', W'')\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*} dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.60}$$

estimating the first and second term by using the continuous imbedding $L^2[0, T] \hookrightarrow L^1[0, T]$. Now we estimate the three terms on the right-hand side of (3.60) separately. For the first term, we get²⁷⁾

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \left(\lambda (I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)) - (I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)) \right) \right\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 \\
& \leq C \left(\|I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 + \|I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 \right) \implies
\end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_0^T \left\| \frac{1}{1+\lambda} \left(\lambda (I_i'(t) - I_i''(t)) - (I_e'(t) - I_e''(t)) \right) \right\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq C \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]} + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

For the second term, we obtain from the continuity of the monodomain bilinear form and (3.42):

$$|\mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'', \psi)|^2 \leq \gamma^2 \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \cdot \|\psi\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \implies \tag{3.63}$$

$$\left(\int_0^T \sup_{\psi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)} |\mathcal{M}(\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'', \psi)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C \left(\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]}^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{3.64}$$

$$\leq C \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]} + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]} \right). \tag{3.65}$$

In order to estimate the third term, we note first that, by duality, $L^{6/5}(\Omega)$ is continuously imbedded into $(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*$ since $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is continuously imbedded into $L^6(\Omega)$. Consequently, relying on Lemma 3.1., we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}', W') - I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}'', W'')\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*} \leq C \|I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}', W') - I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}'', W'')\|_{L^{6/5}(\Omega)} \\
& \leq C \|b((\Phi_{tr}')^2 + \Phi_{tr}' \Phi_{tr}'' + (\Phi_{tr}'')^2 - (a+1)(\Phi_{tr}' + \Phi_{tr}'') + a)(\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'')\|_{L^{6/5}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.66}$$

$$+ C \|(\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'') W'\|_{L^{6/5}(\Omega)} + C \|(W' - W'') \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^{6/5}(\Omega)} = J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \tag{3.67}$$

Applying to J_1 Hölder's inequality with $p_1 = 5/4$ and $p_2 = 5$, we obtain

$$J_1 = C \left(\int_{\Omega} ((\Phi_{tr}')^2 + \Phi_{tr}' \Phi_{tr}'' + (\Phi_{tr}'')^2 - (a+1)(\Phi_{tr}' + \Phi_{tr}'') + a)^{6/5} (\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'')^{6/5} dx \right)^{5/6} \tag{3.68}$$

$$\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} ((\Phi_{tr}')^2 + \Phi_{tr}' \Phi_{tr}'' + (\Phi_{tr}'')^2 - (a+1)(\Phi_{tr}' + \Phi_{tr}'') + a)^{3/2} dx \right)^{2/3} \left(\int_{\Omega} (\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}'')^6 dx \right)^{1/6} \tag{3.69}$$

$$\leq C (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}'(t)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''(t)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2) \cdot \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}. \tag{3.70}$$

²⁷⁾ Note that, in [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12], p. 1547 f., (B.44) and (B.47), the forming of the square root has been overlooked.

Further, using again Hölder's inequality with $p_1 = 5/4$ and $p_2 = 5$, we get

$$J_2 = C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''|^{6/5} |W'|^{6/5} dx \right)^{5/6} \quad (3.71)$$

$$\leq C \|W'(t)\|_{L^{3/2}(\Omega)} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \leq C \|W'\|_{C^0[0,T], L^2(\Omega)} \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^6(\Omega)}. \quad (3.72)$$

By (2.13), $\|W'\|_{C^0[0,T], L^2(\Omega)}$ is uniformly bounded by the norms of the initial data and the bound R of the norms of the inhomogeneities. Consequently, we arrive at

$$J_2 \leq C \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}. \quad (3.73)$$

Finally, through application of Hölder's inequality with $p_1 = 5/3$ and $p_2 = 5/2$, we will estimate

$$J_3 = C \left(\int_{\Omega} (W' - W'')^{6/5} (\Phi_{tr}'')^{6/5} dx \right)^{5/6} \leq C \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \cdot \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^3(\Omega)}. \quad (3.74)$$

Summing up, the estimates (3.70), (3.73) and (3.74) imply for the third term in (3.61)

$$C \int_0^T \|I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}', W') - I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}'', W'')\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*} dt \leq C \int_0^T \left((1 + \|\Phi_{tr}'(t)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_{tr}''(t)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2) \cdot \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \cdot \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \right) dt, \quad (3.75)$$

and the combination of (3.60), (3.62), (3.65) and (3.75) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left\| \frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}''}{\partial t} \right\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*} dt \\ & \leq C \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]} + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]} \right) \\ & + C \left(\int_0^T (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}'(t)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^4 + \|\Phi_{tr}''(t)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^4) dt \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\int_0^T \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ & + C \left(\int_0^T \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\int_0^T \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.76)$$

Observe that

$$\left(\int_0^T \|\Phi_{tr}'(t)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^4 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|\Phi_{tr}'\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^2; \quad (3.77)$$

$$\left(\int_0^T \|\Phi_{tr}''(t)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^4 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^2; \quad (3.78)$$

$$\left(\int_0^T \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = C \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2[(0,T), L^3(\Omega)]} \leq C \|\Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)} \quad (3.79)$$

are bounded by (2.13) and the assumption about the norms of I_i and I_e . Consequently, assembling (3.36), (3.42) and (3.76), we arrive at the desired estimate

$$\int_0^T \left\| \frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}'}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \Phi_{tr}''}{\partial t} \right\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*} dt \leq C \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]} + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]} \right) \quad (3.80)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + C \left(\|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{L^2[(0,T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]} + \|W' - W''\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)} \right) \\ \Rightarrow & \|\Phi_{tr}' - \Phi_{tr}''\|_{W^{1,1}[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]} \\ & \leq C \left(\|I_i' - I_i''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]} + \|I_e' - I_e''\|_{L^2[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.81)$$

If the Rogers-McCulloch model is replaced by the FitzHugh-Nagumo or the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model, the estimates hold accordingly. Note that, in the latter case, the estimation of J_2 in (3.71) – (3.73) is still possible since, by Proposition 2.4., 2), $\|W'\|_{C^0[0,T], L^3(\Omega)}$ is uniformly bounded by the norms of the initial data and the bound R of the norms of the inhomogeneities. ■

Remark 3.4. In the case of the Rogers-McCulloch or the FitzHugh-Nagumo model, Theorems 1.1. – 1.4. and Corollaries 3.2. – 3.3. remain valid if W_0 belongs to $L^{8/3}(\Omega)$ instead of $L^4(\Omega)$. Indeed, in order to keep the estimation (3.11) – (3.16) true, it suffices to work with $W' \in L^\infty((0, T), L^{8/3}(\Omega))$. $W_0 \in L^{8/3}(\Omega)$, (2.13) and (2.17) imply

$$\int_{\Omega} |W(t)|^{8/3} dx \leq C \|W_0\|_{L^{8/3}(\Omega)}^{8/3} + C \varepsilon \kappa \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^{8/3}(\Omega_T)}^{8/3} \leq C \|W_0\|_{L^{8/3}(\Omega)}^{8/3} + C (1 + \|\Phi_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^4) \quad (3.82)$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \|\Phi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|W_0\|_{L^{8/3}(\Omega)}^{8/3} + \|I_i'\|_{L^2((0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^2 + \|I_e'\|_{L^2((0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)}^2 \right), \quad (3.83)$$

which confirms that W belongs to $L^\infty((0, T), L^{8/3}(\Omega))$.

Remark 3.5. In the case of the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model, Theorems 1.1. – 1.4. and Corollaries 3.2. – 3.3. remain valid if W_0 belongs to $L^3(\Omega)$ instead of $L^4(\Omega)$.

4. Detailed corrections within the previous papers.

In the following, we report in detail the corrections to be made in [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12], [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A], [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B] and [KUNISCH/NAGAIH/WAGNER 11].

a) Corrections within [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12].

- 1) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1528 f., Theorem 2.2., Lemma 2.3. and Theorem 2.4.]. These assertions remain true without changes.
- 2) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1529, Theorem 2.5.]. In view of Theorem 1.3. above, the assertion remains true for the monodomain system with the Rogers-McCulloch, FitzHugh-Nagumo or the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model.
- 3) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1529 f.] The analytical framework for the analysis of the optimal control problem (P) is determined by the additional regularity requirements within the stability estimate and the existence theorem for the adjoint parabolic equation. In view of Theorem 1.5. above, no changes have to be made.
- 4) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1530 f., Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.2. and Theorem 3.3.]. The assertions remain true without changes.
- 5) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1532, Theorem 3.5., Corollary 3.6. and 3.7.]. These assertions remain true without changes. However, in order to ensure the claimed regularity of the multiplier P_1 , we must argue with Theorem 1.7. instead of Theorem 1.6.

Lemma 4.1. *Under the assumptions of [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1532, Theorem 3.5.], the adjoint variable P_1 admits still $L^4((0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega))$ -regularity.*

Proof. By [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1532, Theorem 3.5.], we obtain $P_1 \in L^2((0, T), W^{2,2}(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,2}((0, T), L^2(\Omega))$. Instead of the Aubin-Dubinskij lemma (Theorem 1.6.), we apply Theorem 1.7., 1) to

the spaces $X_0 = W^{2,2}(\Omega)$, $X = W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and $X_1 = L^2(\Omega)$. Since the imbedding $W^{2,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is compact and the norms satisfy the interpolation inequality²⁸⁾

$$\|\psi\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega)}^{1/2} \cdot \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1/2} \quad \forall \psi \in W^{2,2}(\Omega), \quad (4.1)$$

we observe with $p = p' = 2$ and $\vartheta = 1/2$ that $\Delta(p, p', \vartheta) = 0$. Consequently, P_1 belongs to all spaces $L^q((0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega))$ with $1 \leq q < \infty$. ■

6) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1533, Theorem 3.8.]. The stability estimate must be replaced by Theorem 1.1. and Corollary 3.2. above. Note that the inequality [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1533, (3.28)], which could not be maintained, has not been used in the following derivations.

7) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1533, Theorem 3.9.]. The theorem remains valid without changes.

8) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1533, Theorem 3.10.]. Within the formulation of the parabolic existence theorem, there is a transcription error to be corrected. Namely, assumption (c) has to be replaced by²⁹⁾

$$(c)' \quad a_0 \in L^{r_2}((0, T), L^{q_2}(\Omega)) \text{ for some } 1 \leq r_2 < \infty, 2 < q_2 \leq \infty \text{ satisfying } \frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{n}{2q_2} = 1.$$

9) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, pp. 1534 – 1537, Proof of Theorem 3.9.]. The proof with the necessary corrections is repeated here.

Under the assumptions of the theorem, the adjoint equations read as follows:

$$-\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} M_i \nabla P_1 \right) + \left(3b(\hat{\Phi}_{tr})^2 - 2(a+1)b\hat{\Phi}_{tr} + ab + \hat{W} \right) P_1 = \varepsilon \kappa P_2 - \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}); \quad (4.2)$$

$$-\frac{\partial P_2}{\partial t} + \varepsilon P_2 = -\hat{\Phi}_{tr} P_1 - \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}). \quad (4.3)$$

• **Step 1.** *Improved regularity of $\hat{\Phi}_{tr}$ and $\hat{W}$.* From Proposition 2.1., 2) and Theorem 1.5., 1), we see that

$$\hat{W}(x, t) = W_0(x) e^{-\varepsilon t} + \varepsilon \kappa \int_0^t \hat{\Phi}_{tr}(x, \tau) e^{\varepsilon(\tau-t)} d\tau \quad (4.4)$$

belongs to $C^0([0, T], L^4(\Omega))$ while $\hat{\Phi}_{tr}$ gains at least $L^4((0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega))$ -regularity.³⁰⁾

• **Step 2.** *For any $\tilde{P}_1 \in L^4(\Omega_T)$, the terminal problem for the adjoint ODE admits a unique (weak or strong) solution $P_2 \in C^1((0, T), L^2(\Omega)) \cap C^0([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$.* It is obvious that the problem

$$-\frac{\partial P_2}{\partial t} + \varepsilon P_2 = -\hat{\Phi}_{tr} \tilde{P}_1 - \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) \quad (\forall) (x, t) \in \Omega_T, \quad P_2(x, T) \equiv 0 \quad (4.5)$$

admits the unique solution

$$P_2(x, t) = - \int_t^T \left(\hat{\Phi}_{tr} \tilde{P}_1 + \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) \right) e^{\varepsilon(t-\tau)} d\tau, \quad (4.6)$$

which is continuous in time on $[0, T]$ and even differentiable in time on $(0, T)$. In order to confirm the integrability with respect to x , we estimate

$$\int_{\Omega} (\hat{\Phi}_{tr}(t) \tilde{P}_1(t))^2 dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\hat{\Phi}_{tr}(t)|^4 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\tilde{P}_1(t)|^4 dx \right)^{1/2} \quad (4.7)$$

²⁸⁾ [ADAMS/FOURNIER 07], p. 135, Theorem 5.2., (3), with $n = 3$, $j = 1$, $p = 2$ and $m = 2$.

²⁹⁾ Cf. again [LADYŽENSKAJA/SOLONNIKOV/URAL'CEVA 88], p. 180, Remark 6.3.

³⁰⁾ The derivation [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1534, (3.39) – (3.41)], holds wrong.

where the right-hand side is finite due to the continuous imbedding $\hat{\Phi}_{tr}(t) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^4(\Omega)$. Consequently, P_2 belongs to the space $C^1[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)] \cap C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega)]$.

• **Step 3.** For any $\tilde{P}_2 \in L^2(\Omega_T)$, the terminal-boundary value problem for the parabolic adjoint equation admits a unique weak solution $P_1 \in L^2[(0, T), W^{2,2}(\Omega)] \cap W^{1,2}[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]$. In order to confirm this claim, we must check whether the assumptions of [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1533, Theorem 3.10.] are satisfied. Concerning (a), (b), (d), (e) and (f), the arguments from [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1534 f.] can be maintained. In view of the regularity discussion in Step 1, the term

$$a_0(x, t) = 3b(\hat{\Phi}_{tr})^2 - 2(a+1)b\hat{\Phi}_{tr} + ab + \hat{W} \quad (4.8)$$

satisfies condition (c)' with $n = 3$, $r_2 = 2$ and $q_2 = 3$. Consequently, the application of [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1533, Theorem 3.10.] within the proof of [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1533, Theorem 3.9.] is still justified.

• **Step 4.** For two functions $P'_1, P''_1 \in L^4(\Omega_T)$, the corresponding solutions of the terminal problem for the adjoint ODE satisfy³¹⁾

$$\|P'_2(t) - P''_2(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \cdot \int_t^T \|P'_1(\tau) - P''_1(\tau)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 d\tau. \quad (4.9)$$

Applying Jensen's integral inequality and Hölder's inequality, we may argue that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |P'_2 - P''_2|^2 dx &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_t^T |\hat{\Phi}_{tr}| \cdot |P'_1 - P''_1| d\tau \right)^2 dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^T |\hat{\Phi}_{tr}|^2 d\tau \right) \cdot \left(\int_t^T |P'_1 - P''_1|^2 d\tau \right) dx \leq C \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^2 \cdot \|P'_1 - P''_1\|_{L^4[(t, T), L^4(\Omega)]}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

• **Step 5.** For two functions $P'_2, P''_2 \in L^2(\Omega_T)$, the corresponding solutions of the terminal-boundary value problem for the parabolic adjoint equation satisfy

$$\|P'_1(t) - P''_1(t)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \cdot \int_t^T \|P'_2(\tau) - P''_2(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau. \quad (4.11)$$

Applying [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1533, Theorem 3.10.] to the difference of the linear parabolic equations determining P'_1 and P''_1 , we get the a-priori estimate³²⁾

$$C \left(\int_t^T \|P'_2 - P''_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \geq \|P'_1 - P''_1\|_{C^0[t, T], W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \geq \|P'_1 - P''_1\|_{L^4[(t, T), L^4(\Omega)]}. \quad (4.12)$$

• **Step 6.** Application of Banach's fixed point theorem. We consider the operator³³⁾

$$\mathcal{I}: \left(L^2[(0, T), L^4(\Omega)] \times L^2(\Omega_T) \right) \rightarrow \left(L^2[(0, T), L^4(\Omega)] \times L^2(\Omega_T) \right), \quad (4.13)$$

which assigns to a given pair (P_1, P_2) the new pair $(\mathcal{I}P_1, \mathcal{I}P_2)$ arising from the solution $\mathcal{I}P_2$ of the adjoint ODE after insertion of P_1 and the solution of the adjoint parabolic problem after insertion of $\mathcal{I}P_2$. Let

³¹⁾ [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1535, (3.47) ff.]: Again here and in the following, it was claimed in error that $\|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}$ is essentially bounded.

³²⁾ Cf. [EVANS 98], p. 287, Theorem 3.

³³⁾ Here we follow still [VENERONI 09], p. 866.

us prove now the contractivity of this operator. We start with two pairs $(P'_1, P'_2), (P''_1, P''_2) \in L^2[(0, T), L^4(\Omega)] \times L^2(\Omega_T)$. From (4.9) and (4.11), we get

$$\|\mathcal{I}P'_1 - \mathcal{I}P''_1\|_{L^4[(t, T), L^4(\Omega)]}^2 \leq C \int_t^T \|\mathcal{I}P'_2(\tau) - \mathcal{I}P''_2(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \quad (4.14)$$

$$\leq C \int_t^T \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^2 \cdot \|P'_1 - P''_1\|_{L^4[(\tau, T), L^4(\Omega)]}^2 d\tau. \quad (4.15)$$

Defining the functions

$$f(t) = \|\mathcal{I}P'_1 - \mathcal{I}P''_1\|_{L^4[(t, T), L^4(\Omega)]}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}(t) = \|P'_1 - P''_1\|_{L^4[(t, T), L^4(\Omega)]}^2, \quad (4.16)$$

this inequality reads as

$$0 \leq f(t) \leq C \int_t^T \tilde{f}(\vartheta) d\vartheta \implies \int_0^T e^{\lambda_1 t} f(t) dt \leq C \cdot \int_0^T e^{\lambda_1 t} \left(\int_t^T \tilde{f}(\vartheta) d\vartheta \right) dt \quad (4.17)$$

$$= C \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_1} e^{\lambda_1 t} \cdot \int_t^T \tilde{f}(\vartheta) d\vartheta \right]_0^T + C \int_0^T \frac{1}{\lambda_1} e^{\lambda_1 t} \tilde{f}(t) dt \quad (4.18)$$

$$= \frac{C}{\lambda_1} \left(\int_0^T e^{\lambda_1 t} \tilde{f}(t) dt - \int_0^T \tilde{f}(\vartheta) d\vartheta \right) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_1} \int_0^T e^{\lambda_1 t} \tilde{f}(t) dt \quad (4.19)$$

since the second member within the brackets is positive. If a sufficiently large $\lambda_1 > C$ is fixed, we get the relation

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T e^{\lambda_1 t} \cdot \|\mathcal{I}^N P'_1 - \mathcal{I}^N P''_1\|_{L^4[(t, T), L^4(\Omega)]}^2 dt = 0, \quad (4.20)$$

implying

$$\|\mathcal{I}^N P'_1 - \mathcal{I}^N P''_1\|_{L^4[(t, T), L^4(\Omega)]}^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.21)$$

for almost all $0 \leq t \leq T$. Consequently, the sequence $\{\mathcal{I}^N P'_1 - \mathcal{I}^N P''_1\}$ converges in $L^2[(0, T), L^4(\Omega)]$ -norm to the zero function, and the operator $\mathcal{I}$ is contractive with respect to its first component on this space. For the contractivity with respect to the second component, the arguments from [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1536 f., (3.65) ff.] may be repeated. The proof is complete. ■

10) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1537, Remark (1)]. The remark holds true since, in the case of the FitzHugh-Nagumo model, the variable coefficient in Step 3 above reads as

$$a_0(x, t) = 3(\hat{\Phi}_{tr})^2 - 2(a+1)\hat{\Phi}_{tr} + a. \quad (4.22)$$

By Theorem 1.5., 1), this function belongs to $L^2[(0, T), L^3(\Omega)]$ as well.

11) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1537, Remark (2)]. In the case of the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model, the application of [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1533, Theorem 3.10.] is still justified. Indeed, from Proposition 2.4., 2), we get $\hat{W} \in C^0[[0, T], L^3(\Omega)]$, and a_0 belongs still to $L^2[(0, T), L^3(\Omega)]$ as required in assumption (c)'. Assumption (d) about the right-hand side can be satisfied as follows: It holds that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\hat{\Phi}_{tr} P_2|^2 dx dt \leq \int_0^T \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}(t)\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 \cdot \|P_2(t)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq C \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^2[(0, T), L^6(\Omega)]}^2 \quad (4.23)$$

since $\hat{\Phi}_{tr} \in L^4[(0, T), L^6(\Omega)]$ by Theorem 1.5., 1), and $\tilde{P}_1, P_1 \in L^6(\Omega_T)$ by Lemma 4.1., which implies even $P_2 \in C^0[0, T], L^3(\Omega)]$.

12) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, pp. 1537 – 1541, Proof of Theorem 3.5. with Lemma 3.11. and 3.12., Proofs of Corollaries 3.6. and 3.7.]. All arguments remain true without changes.

13) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1542, Propositions A.2, A.3, A.4 and Theorem A.5]. The citations are correct.

14) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1542, Theorem A.6]. The citation of the theorem is erroneous and must be replaced by Theorem 1.6. above.

15) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1542 ff., Appendix B] The proof of the stability estimate must be replaced by the proofs of Theorem 1.1. and Corollary 3.2. above. The basic error was the inequality [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12, p. 1544, (B.14)], which holds not true.

b) Corrections within [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A].

1) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, pp. 956 – 958, Theorem 2.4., 2.5. and 2.6.]. These assertions remain true without changes.

2) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, p. 959, Theorem 2.7.]. The stability estimate must be replaced by Theorem 1.2. and Corollary 3.3. above.

3) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, p. 959, Theorem 2.8.]. In view of Theorem 1.4. above, the assertion remains true for the bidomain system with the Rogers-McCulloch, FitzHugh-Nagumo or the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model.

4) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, pp. 961 ff., Proof of Theorem 2.7.]. As mentioned in the proofs of Theorem 1.1. and 1.2., [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, p. 959 f., Lemma 2.9.] and [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, p. 961, Lemma 2.10.] hold true (the latter is identical with Lemma 3.1. above). Steps 1 – 6 of the proof must be changed along the lines of the proof of Theorem 1.2. The basic error entered with the inequalities [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, p. 964, (2.69) and (2.70)], which do not hold true. Steps 7 and 8 can be maintained without changes.

5) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, p. 973 f., Remark 1)]. Remains true without changes.

6) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, p. 973 f., Remark 2), (2.127) – (2.132)]. This derivation has to be changed along the lines of Part C) of the proof of Theorem 1.1. above.

7) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, p. 975 f., Theorem 3.2.]. This assertion remains true.

8) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, p. 976 f., Theorem 3.3., Corollary 3.4. and 3.5.]. These assertions remain true while its proof must be corrected.

9) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, p. 978 f., Proposition 3.6. and 3.7.]. The propositions remain true.

10) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, pp. 979 ff., Proof of Theorem 3.3.]. The derivation in Step 1 holds wrong since [NAGAIH/KUNISCH/PLANK 11], p. 158, (33), cannot be applied. Instead, the claimed $L^4[(0, T), L^6(\Omega)]$ -regularity of the transmembrane potential Φ_{tr} within a given weak solution (Φ_{tr}, Φ_e, W) of the bidomain system may be derived from Theorem 1.5., 2), which is applicable by [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, p. 975, Assumption 3.1., 4)], thus still guaranteeing $I_{ion}(\Phi_{tr}, W) \in L^{4/3}[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]$.

c) Corrections within [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B].

- 1) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1082, Theorem 2.3.]. The existence and uniqueness theorem for the bidomain system must be replaced by Theorem 1.4. above.
- 2) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1082, Theorem 2.4.]. The stability estimate must be replaced by Theorem 1.2. and Corollary 3.3. above.
- 3) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1083 f.]. The analytical framework for the analysis of the optimal control problem (P) must be chosen in accordance with the regularity requirements within the stability estimate and the adjoint existence theorem. In view of Theorem 1.2. above, we may work with $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ even in the case of the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model.
- 4) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1084 f., Proposition 3.1. – 3.3., Theorem 3.4.]. The assertions remain true without changes.
- 5) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1087, Theorem 4.1.]. While Part 1) of the theorem remains true, Part 2) must be changed considerably. The theorem should be replaced by the following

Theorem 4.2. *Consider the optimal control problem (P) given through [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1083 f., (3.11) – (3.17)] under the assumptions from Subsection 3.1. there, and specify the Rogers-McCulloch model. Assume further that the integrand $r(x, t, \varphi, \eta, w)$ is continuously differentiable with respect to φ, η and w .*

1) **(A-priori estimates for weak solutions of the adjoint system in the bidomain case)** *If $(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}, \hat{I}_e)$ is a feasible solution of (P) with*

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}), \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}), \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \in L^2(\Omega_T) \quad (4.24)$$

then every weak solution $(P_1, P_2, P_3) \in L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)] \times L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)] \times L^2(\Omega_T)$ of the related adjoint system [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1086, (4.8) – (4.10)] obeys the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_1\|_{L^\infty[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]}^2 + \|P_1\|_{L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]}^2 + \|P_2\|_{L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]}^2 + \|P_3\|_{L^\infty[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]}^2 \\ & \leq C \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ does not depend on P_1, P_2, P_3 but on $(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}, \hat{I}_e)$ and the data of (P).

2) **(Gain of regularity for the variables P_1 and P_3)** *If $(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}, \hat{I}_e)$ is a feasible solution of (P) such that, besides of (4.24), the additional regularity*

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}), \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \in L^4[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)] \quad (4.26)$$

is guaranteed then every weak solution $(P_1, P_2, P_3) \in L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)] \times L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)] \times L^2(\Omega_T)$ of the related adjoint system [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1086, (4.8) – (4.10)] obeys the further estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_1\|_{C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\partial P_1 / \partial s\|_{L^{4/3}[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^{4/3} + \|P_3\|_{C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\partial P_3 / \partial s\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \\ & \leq \left(1 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^4[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]}^4 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^4[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]}^4 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ does not depend on P_1, P_2, P_3 but on $(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}, \hat{I}_e)$ and the data of (P).

6) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1088, Theorem 4.2.]. The theorem should be replaced by the following

Theorem 4.3. (Existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for the adjoint system in the bidomain case) *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.2., 2) above, the adjoint system [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1086, (4.8) – (4.10)] admits a uniquely determined weak solution (P_1, P_2, P_3) with*

$$P_1 \in C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega) \cap L^4(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,4/3}(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]; \quad (4.28)$$

$$P_2 \in L^2(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega); \quad \int_{\Omega} P_2(x, t) dx = 0 \quad (\forall) t \in (0, T); \quad (4.29)$$

$$P_3 \in C^0[0, T], L^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T), L^2(\Omega). \quad (4.30)$$

Consequently, the remark after [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1088, (4.25)] may be dropped. Note that the assumption (4.26) about the higher regularity of $\partial r(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W})/\partial \eta$ is rather restrictive since, in general, only $\hat{\Phi}_e \in L^2(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ can be guaranteed.³⁴⁾ In fact, the bidomain system does not allow for smoothing of Φ_e or Φ_i in time but only of Φ_{tr} . However, (4.26) is indispensable in order to ensure the $L^4(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ -regularity of the multiplier P_1 , which cannot be avoided in the pairing [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1085, (4.1)].

7) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, pp. 1088 ff., Proof of Theorem 4.1.]. The proof with the necessary corrections is repeated here as

Proof of Theorem 4.2. In analogy to the primal bidomain equations, the weak adjoint system [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1086, (4.8) – (4.10)] can be equivalently rewritten as a reduced system in terms of the bidomain bilinear form $\mathcal{A}$, which is defined as in Subsection 2.e) above:

$$\frac{d}{ds} \langle P_1(s), \psi \rangle + \mathcal{A}(P_1(s), \psi) + \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial I_{ion}}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_1 + \frac{\partial G}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_3 \right) \psi dx = \langle \tilde{S}(s), \psi \rangle \quad (4.31)$$

$\forall \psi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega);$

$$\frac{d}{ds} \langle P_3(s), \psi \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial I_{ion}}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_1 + \frac{\partial G}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_3 \right) \psi dx = - \langle \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}), \psi \rangle \quad (4.32)$$

$\forall \psi \in L^2(\Omega);$

$$P_1(x, 0) = 0 \quad (\forall) x \in \Omega; \quad P_3(x, 0) = 0 \quad (\forall) x \in \Omega \quad (4.33)$$

on $[0, T]$ in distributional sense, cf. [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, p. 956 f., Theorem 2.4.].

Part 1) The proof is identical with [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, pp. 1088 ff., Steps 1 – 3 and Step 6] of the proof of Theorem 4.1., 1) there.

In the following, we will particularly employ [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1088, Lemma 4.3.], and the norm estimate [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1090, (4.51)], which is repeated here:

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_1\|_{L^\infty(0, T), L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|P_3\|_{L^\infty(0, T), L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq C \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

³⁴⁾ An example of a functional satisfying (4.26) is given by $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{t-\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon} \Phi_e(x, \tau) d\tau - \Phi_{desired}(x) \right)^2 dx$.

Part 2) Assume now that the additional regularity assumptions (4.26) hold. Note that, by Proposition 2.6., 2), $\|\hat{W}(s)\|_{L^4(\Omega)}$ is uniformly bounded. Consequently, the same is true for $\|\hat{W}(s)\|_{L^3(\Omega)}$.

• **Step 1.** An estimate for $|\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \|P_1(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2|$. Inserting into equation (4.31) the feasible test function $\psi = P_1(s) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and applying the lower estimate for the bidomain form, we obtain

$$\langle \frac{d}{ds} P_1(s), P_1(s) \rangle + \mathcal{A}(P_1(s), P_1(s)) = - \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial I_{ion}}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_1(s) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_3(s) \right) P_1(s) dx \quad (4.35)$$

$$+ \langle \tilde{S}(s), P_1(s) \rangle \implies$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \beta \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq - \int_{\Omega} \left(3b(\hat{\Phi}_{tr})^2 P_1(s)^2 - 2(a+1)b\hat{\Phi}_{tr} P_1(s)^2 + ab P_1(s)^2 \right. \quad (4.36)$$

$$\left. + \hat{W} P_1(s)^2 - \varepsilon \kappa P_1(s) P_3(s) \right) dx + |\langle \tilde{S}(s), P_1(s) \rangle| + \beta \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \implies$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \beta \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \int_{\Omega} |P_1|^2 (|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}| + |\hat{W}|) dx \quad (4.37)$$

$$+ C \int_{\Omega} |P_1 P_3| dx + |\langle \tilde{S}(s), P_1(s) \rangle| + \beta \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

To the first term on the right-hand side, we apply the generalized Cauchy inequality and subsequently Hölder's inequality, thus obtaining

$$C \int_{\Omega} |P_1|^2 (|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}| + |\hat{W}|) dx \leq C \varepsilon_1(s) \int_{\Omega} |P_1|^2 (|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}|^2 + |\hat{W}|^2) dx + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_1(s)} \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad (4.38)$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon_1(s) \|P_1\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + C \varepsilon_1(s) \|P_1\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_1(s)} \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad (4.39)$$

for arbitrary $\varepsilon_1(s) > 0$. Specifying within (4.39) $\varepsilon_1(s) = \varepsilon'_1 / (1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2)$ and noticing the (almost) uniform bound (4.34) for $\|P_1(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$, we arrive at

$$C \int_{\Omega} |P_1|^2 (|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}| + |\hat{W}|) dx \leq C \varepsilon'_1 \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 \right). \quad (4.40)$$

Further, we observe that

$$C \int_{\Omega} |P_1 P_3| dx \leq C \left(\|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|P_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \quad (4.41)$$

is (almost) uniformly bounded again by (4.34), [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1088, Lemma 4.3.] yields the estimate

$$|\langle \tilde{S}(s), P_1 \rangle| \leq C \varepsilon'_0 \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_0} \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \quad (4.42)$$

for arbitrary $\varepsilon'_0 > 0$, and the last summand on the right-hand side of (4.37) is (almost) uniformly bounded again by (4.34). Consequently, (4.37), (4.40), (4.41) and (4.42) imply together

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \beta \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq C (\varepsilon'_0 + \varepsilon'_1) \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \quad (4.43)$$

$$+ \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_0} \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 \right).$$

Choosing now $\varepsilon'_0, \varepsilon'_1 > 0$ in such a way that the terms with $\|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2$ on both sides of (4.43) annihilate, we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_0} \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

Inserting now into (4.31) the reverse test function $\psi = -P_1(s)$, we get instead

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle \frac{d}{ds} P_1(s), P_1(s) \rangle - \mathcal{A}(P_1(s), P_1(s)) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial I_{ion}}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_1(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial G}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_3(s) \right) P_1(s) dx - \langle \tilde{S}(s), P_1(s) \rangle \implies \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \beta \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 &\geq \int_{\Omega} \left(3b(\hat{\Phi}_{tr})^2 P_1(s)^2 - 2(a+1)b\hat{\Phi}_{tr} P_1(s)^2 + abP_1(s)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \hat{W} P_1(s)^2 - \varepsilon \kappa P_1(s) P_3(s) \right) dx - |\langle \tilde{S}(s), P_1(s) \rangle| - \beta \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \implies \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \beta \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 &\geq -C \int_{\Omega} |P_1|^2 (|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}| + |\hat{W}|) dx \\ &\quad - C \int_{\Omega} |P_1 P_3| dx - |\langle \tilde{S}(s), P_1(s) \rangle| - \beta \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

Using again (4.15) and (4.40) – (4.42), (4.47) implies the reverse inequality

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \beta \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 &\leq -C(\varepsilon'_0 + \varepsilon'_1) \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_0} \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) - \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.48)$$

and by an appropriate choice of $\varepsilon'_0, \varepsilon'_1 > 0$, the summands with $-\|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2$ annihilate. Thus (4.44) is reversed as

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\geq -\frac{C}{\varepsilon'_0} \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.49)$$

and we arrive at the desired estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \|P_1(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right| &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_0} \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.50)$$

• **Step 2.** An estimate for $\|P_1\|_{L^4([0,T), W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^4$. We return to (4.43) and choose now $\varepsilon'_0, \varepsilon'_1 > 0$ in such a way that $C\varepsilon'_0 + C\varepsilon'_1 = \beta/2$. Then the inequality (4.43) and (4.50) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta}{2} \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_0} \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.51)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left| \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \|P_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right| + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_0} \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.52)$$

$$\leq 2 \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_0} \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + 2 \frac{C}{\varepsilon'_1} \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 \right).$$

Consequently, we find (4.53)

$$\|P_1\|_{L^4[(0,T),W^{1,2}(\Omega)]}^4 = \int_0^T \|P_1(s)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^4 ds \quad (4.54)$$

$$\leq C \int_0^T \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^4 + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^4 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^4 \right) ds \quad (4.55)$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^4 + \|\hat{W}\|_{C^0[0,T],L^3(\Omega)}^4 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^4[(0,T),L^2(\Omega)]}^4 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^4[(0,T),L^2(\Omega)]}^4 \right), \quad (4.56)$$

and the right-hand side is bounded by (2.13), Proposition 2.6., 2) and the additional regularity assumptions about $\partial r(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W})/\partial \varphi$ and $\partial r(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W})/\partial \eta$.

• **Step 3.** *The estimate for $\|\partial P_1/\partial s\|_{L^{4/3}[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^{4/3}$.* Exploiting the definition of the dual norm, we start with

$$\|\partial P_1/\partial s\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*} = \sup_{\|\psi\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}=1} |\langle \partial P_1(s)/\partial s, \psi \rangle| \quad (4.57)$$

$$\leq \sup_{\dots} |\mathcal{A}(P_1, \psi)| + \sup_{\dots} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial I_{ion}}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_1 + \frac{\partial G}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_3 \right| |\psi| dx + \sup_{\dots} |\langle \tilde{S}(s), \psi \rangle| \quad (4.58)$$

$$\leq \sup_{\dots} |\mathcal{A}(P_1, \psi)| + \sup_{\dots} C \int_{\Omega} \left(1 + |\hat{\Phi}_{tr}| + |\hat{W}| + |\hat{\Phi}_{tr}|^2 \right) |P_1| |\psi| dx \quad (4.59)$$

$$+ \sup_{\dots} C \int_{\Omega} |P_3| |\psi| dx + \sup_{\dots} |\langle \tilde{S}(s), \psi \rangle|.$$

The four terms on the right-hand side of (4.59) will be estimated separately. For the first term, we get with the upper estimate for the bidomain form³⁵⁾

$$\sup_{\dots} |\mathcal{A}(P_1, \psi)| \leq \sup_{\dots} \gamma \|P_1(s)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \|\psi\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq \gamma \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}. \quad (4.60)$$

For the second term, we obtain

$$\sup_{\dots} C \int_{\Omega} \left(1 + |\hat{\Phi}_{tr}| + |\hat{W}| + |\hat{\Phi}_{tr}|^2 \right) |P_1| |\psi| dx \quad (4.61)$$

$$\leq C + \sup_{\dots} C \int_{\Omega} |\hat{\Phi}_{tr} P_1 \psi| dx + \sup_{\dots} C \int_{\Omega} |\hat{W} P_1 \psi| dx + \sup_{\dots} C \int_{\Omega} |\hat{\Phi}_{tr}|^2 \cdot |P_1 \psi| dx$$

$$\leq C + \sup_{\dots} C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\hat{\Phi}_{tr}|^4 dx \right)^{1/4} \left(\int_{\Omega} |P_1|^4 dx \right)^{1/4} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\psi|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} + \sup_{\dots} C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\hat{W}|^3 dx \right)^{1/3} \cdot$$

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |P_1|^3 dx \right)^{1/3} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\psi|^3 dx \right)^{1/3} + \sup_{\dots} C \left(\int_{\Omega} |\hat{\Phi}_{tr}|^4 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |P_1|^4 dx \right)^{1/4} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\psi|^4 dx \right)^{1/4}$$

$$\leq C + \sup_{\dots} C \cdot \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \cdot \|P_1\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \cdot \|\psi\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \quad (4.63)$$

$$+ \sup_{\dots} C \cdot \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \cdot \|P_1\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \cdot \|\psi\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + \sup_{\dots} C \cdot \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \cdot \|P_1\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \cdot \|\psi\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)} + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)} + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \right) \cdot \|P_1\|_{L^4(\Omega)}. \quad (4.64)$$

³⁵⁾ Cf. [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A, p. 957, Theorem 2.4., 2), (2.27)].

For the third summand, we get

$$\sup_{\dots} C \int_{\Omega} |P_3| |\psi| dx \leq \sup_{\dots} C \left(\int_{\Omega} |P_3|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\psi|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \quad (4.65)$$

$$\leq \sup_{\dots} C \cdot \|P_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \cdot \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|P_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad (4.66)$$

and for the last summand, [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1088, Lemma 4.3.] (with $\varepsilon'_0 = 1$) yields the estimate

$$\sup_{\dots} |\langle \tilde{S}(s), \psi \rangle| \leq \sup_{\dots} C \|\psi\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + C \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \quad (4.67)$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right). \quad (4.68)$$

Inserting (4.60), (4.64), (4.66) and (4.68) into (4.57), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial P_1 / \partial s\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*} &\leq C \left(1 + (1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)} + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)} + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2) \cdot \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|P_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.69)$$

Consequently, we find the estimate

$$\|\partial P_1 / \partial s\|_{L^{4/3}[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^{4/3} = \int_0^T \|\partial P_1(s) / \partial s\|_{(W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*}^{4/3} ds \quad (4.70)$$

$$\leq C + C \int_0^T \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^{4/3} + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^{4/3} + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^{8/3} \right) \cdot \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^{4/3} ds \quad (4.71)$$

$$+ C \int_0^T \|P_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{4/3} ds + C \int_0^T \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{8/3} + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{8/3} \right) ds$$

$$\leq C \left(\int_0^T \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^{4/3} + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^{4/3} + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^{8/3} \right)^{3/2} ds \right)^{2/3} \left(\int_0^T \|P_1\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^4 ds \right)^{1/3} + \dots \quad (4.72)$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^2[(0,T), L^4(\Omega)]}^{4/3} + \|\hat{W}\|_{L^2[(0,T), L^3(\Omega)]}^{4/3} + \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^{8/3} \right) \cdot \|P_1\|_{L^4[(0,T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]}^{4/3} \quad (4.73)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ C \left(1 + \|P_3\|_{L^{4/3}[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^{4/3} + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^{8/3}[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^{8/3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^{8/3}[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^{8/3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first summand is bounded by (2.13), (4.56) and Proposition 2.6., 2), the second one is bounded by (4.34) and the additional regularity assumptions about $\partial r(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) / \partial \varphi$ and $\partial r(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) / \partial \eta$. Summing up, we obtain the claimed estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial P_1 / \partial s\|_{L^{4/3}[(0,T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^{4/3} \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^4[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^{4/3} + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^4[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^{4/3} \right. \end{aligned} \quad (4.74)$$

$$+ \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^{4/3} + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^{4/3} + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^{4/3}$$

$$+ \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^{8/3}[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^{8/3} + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^{8/3}[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^{8/3} \Big)$$

$$\leq C \left(1 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^4[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^{8/3} + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^4[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^{8/3} \right. \quad (4.75)$$

$$\left. + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^{4/3} \right).$$

• **Step 4.** *The estimate for $\|\partial P_3/\partial s\|_{L^2[(0,T), (L^2(\Omega))^*]}^2 = \|\partial P_3/\partial s\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2$.* We start again with the calculation of the dual norm

$$\|\partial P_3/\partial s\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^*} = \sup_{\|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}=1} |\langle \partial P_3(s)/\partial s, \psi \rangle| \quad (4.76)$$

$$= \sup_{\dots} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial I_{ion}}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_1 + \frac{\partial G}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_3 + \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right| \cdot |\psi| dx \quad (4.77)$$

$$\leq \sup_{\dots} \int_{\Omega} |\hat{\Phi}_{tr} P_1 \psi| dx + \sup_{\dots} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon |P_3 \psi| dx + \sup_{\dots} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right| \cdot |\psi| dx. \quad (4.78)$$

Relying on (4.61) – (4.66), we obtain

$$\|\partial P_3(s)/\partial s\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^*} \leq \sup_{\dots} C \left(\|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \cdot \|P_1\|_{L^4(\Omega)} + \|P_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \cdot \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad (4.79)$$

$$\leq C \left(\|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \cdot \|P_1\|_{L^4(\Omega)} + \|P_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right). \quad (4.80)$$

Together with (4.34) and (4.56), we arrive at the claimed estimate

$$\|\partial P_3/\partial s\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 = \int_0^T \|\partial P_3(s)/\partial s\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^*}^2 ds \quad (4.81)$$

$$\leq C \int_0^T \left(\|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \cdot \|P_1\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 + \|P_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) ds \quad (4.82)$$

$$\leq C \left(\|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^2 \cdot \|P_1\|_{L^4[(0,T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]}^2 + \|P_3\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \right) \quad (4.83)$$

$$\leq C \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^4[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^4 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^4[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^4 \right) \quad (4.84)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 + 2 \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \\ & \leq C \left(\left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^4[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^4 + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^4[(0,T), L^2(\Omega)]}^4 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left\| \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.85)$$

• **Step 5.** *Conclusion of the proof.* The fact that P_1 belongs even to $C^0[[0, T], L^2(\Omega)]$ can be confirmed analogously to [BOURGAULT/COUDIÈRE/PIERRE 09], p. 478, Subsection 5.3. As a consequence of the imbedding theorem [EVANS 98], p. 286, Theorem 2, $P_3 \in C^0[[0, T], L^2(\Omega)]$ holds true as well. Consequently, the norms on the left-hand side of (4.34) can be replaced by $C^0[[0, T], L^2(\Omega)]$ -norms, and the proof is complete. ■

8) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, pp. 1096 ff., Proof of Theorem 4.2.]. The proof with the necessary corrections is sketched here as

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Note first that [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1097, Lemma 4.4.] must be replaced by the following

Lemma 4.4. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 4.2., 2) above hold for the data of (P) and a feasible solution $(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}, \hat{I}_e)$. Then for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the functions P_1^N, P_3^N satisfy the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_1^N\|_{C^0[[0, T], L^2(\Omega)]}^2 + \|P_1^N\|_{L^4[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]}^4 + \|\partial P_1^N/\partial s\|_{L^{4/3}[(0, T), (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*]}^{4/3} \\ & + \|P_3^N\|_{C^0[[0, T], L^2(\Omega)]}^2 + \|\partial P_3^N/\partial s\|_{L^2[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]}^2 \leq C \end{aligned} \quad (4.86)$$

for a constant $C > 0$ independent of N .

Then [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, pp. 1096 ff., Proof of Theorem 4.2.] runs as before with the appropriate modifications, caused by the usage of Theorem 4.2. above instead of [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, pp. 1087, Theorem 4.1.]. ■

9) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, pp. 1098, Remark 4.5., 1)]. The arguments remain true without changes. Consequently, Theorems 4.2. and 4.3. hold true for the FitzHugh-Nagumo model as well.

10) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, pp. 1098 f, Remark 4.5., 2)]. In the case of the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model, $W_0 \in L^4(\Omega)$ implies $\hat{W} \in C^0[0, T], L^3(\Omega)]$ by Proposition 2.7. above. Then the derivations [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1098 f, (4.132) – (4.136)] can be maintained but [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1099, (4.137) – (4.140)] must be changed in the following way.

In Step 3 of the proof of Theorem 4.2., the following summand must be added to the right-hand side of (4.59):

$$\sup_{\dots} \int_{\Omega} |\hat{\Phi}_{tr} P_3 \psi| dx \leq \sup_{\dots} C \cdot \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \cdot \|P_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \cdot \|\psi\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \leq C \cdot \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \cdot \|P_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad (4.90)$$

$$\implies C \int_0^T \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^{4/3} \cdot \|P_3\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{4/3} ds \leq C \|\hat{\Phi}_{tr}\|_{L^4(\Omega_T)}^{4/3} \cdot \|P_3\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^{4/3}. \quad (4.91)$$

Consequently, Step 3 can be maintained in the case of the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model as well. In Steps 4 and 5, no changes have to be made. We conclude that Theorems 4.2. and 4.3. remain true even if the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model is specified.

11) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, pp. 1100, Theorem 5.2.]. The assumptions of this theorem can be substantially weakened. In fact, Theorems 4.2. and 4.3. allow to settle the optimality conditions within a framework of *weak solutions of the bidomain system* instead of strong ones. In particular, the conditions apply now even to optimal controls $\hat{I}_e \in L^\infty[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)] \setminus W^{1,2}[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]$, which cause no additional time smoothing of the state. Thus the theorem should be replaced by the following

Theorem 4.5. (First-order necessary optimality conditions for the control problem (P) in the bidomain case) *We consider the optimal control problem (P) given through [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1083 f, (3.11) – (3.17)] under the assumptions of Subsection 3.1. there with either the Rogers-McCulloch, the FitzHugh-Nagumo or the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model. Assume further that the integrand $r(x, t, \varphi, \eta, w)$ is continuously differentiable with respect to φ, η and w . Let $(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}, \hat{I}_e)$ be a weak local minimizer of (P) such that*

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}), \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \in L^4[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]; \frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \in L^2(\Omega_T). \quad (4.92)$$

Then there exist multipliers $P_1 \in L^4[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)]$, $P_2 \in L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)] \cap \{Z \mid \int_{\Omega} Z(x, t) dx = 0 \ (\forall) t \in (0, T)\}$ and $P_3 \in L^2(\Omega_T)$, satisfying together with $(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}, \hat{I}_e)$ the optimality condition

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{con}} (\mu \hat{I}_e - Q P_2) \cdot (I_e - \hat{I}_e) dx dt \geq 0 \quad \forall I_e \in \mathcal{C} \quad (4.93)$$

where the control domain $\mathcal{C} \subset L^\infty[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)]$ and the operator Q are described through [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1078, (1.9) – (1.10)], as well as the adjoint equations

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(-\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial I_{ion}}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_1 + \frac{\partial G}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_3 \right) \psi dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi^T M_i (\nabla P_1 + \nabla P_2) dx dt \\ & = - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial \varphi}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right) \psi dx dt \quad \forall \psi \in L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)], P_1(x, T) \equiv 0; \end{aligned} \quad (4.94)$$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi^T M_i \nabla P_1 dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi^T (M_i + M_e) \nabla P_2 dx dt = - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial r}{\partial \eta}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \psi dx dt \quad (4.95)$$

$$\forall \psi \in L^2[(0, T), W^{1,2}(\Omega)] \text{ with } \int_{\Omega} \psi(x, t) dx = 0 \quad (\forall) t \in (0, T), \int_{\Omega} P_2(x, t) dx = 0 \quad (\forall) t \in (0, T);$$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(-\frac{\partial P_3}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial I_{ion}}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_1 + \frac{\partial G}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{W}) P_3 \right) \psi dx dt = - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial w}(\hat{\Phi}_{tr}, \hat{\Phi}_e, \hat{W}) \right) \psi dx dt \quad (4.96)$$

$$\forall \psi \in L^2[(0, T), L^2(\Omega)], P_3(x, T) \equiv 0,$$

which are solved in weak sense. The multipliers admit the additional regularity described in (4.28 – (4.30).

Proof. [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, pp. 1101 ff., Proof of Theorem 5.2.] may be repeated with obvious modifications caused by the usage of Theorems 4.2. and 4.3. above instead of [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, p. 1087 f., Theorem 4.1. and 4.2.]. Note that, even in the case of the linearized Aliev-Panfilov model, the assumptions of Theorem 4.2. and 4.3. remain unchanged. ■

12) [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B, pp. 1100 f., Corollary 5.3. – 5.5.]. These assertions hold true even under the assumptions of Theorem 4.5. above.

d) Corrections within [KUNISCH/NAGAIAH/WAGNER 11].

1) [KUNISCH/NAGAIAH/WAGNER 11, p. 260, Theorem 2.2.]. In view of Theorems 1.1. and 1.3. above, the assertion remains true without changes.

References.

1. [ADAMS/FOURNIER 07] Adams, R. A.; Fournier, J. J. F.: *Sobolev Spaces*. Academic Press / Elsevier; Amsterdam etc. 2007, 2nd ed.
2. [ALIEV/PANFILOV 96] Aliev, R. R.; Panfilov, A. V.: *A simple two-variable model of cardiac excitation*. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals **7** (1996), 293 – 301
3. [BARRETT/SÜLI 12] Barrett, J. W.; Süli, E.: *Reflections on Dubinskiĭ's nonlinear compact embedding theorem*. Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **91** (2012), 95 – 110
4. [BOURGAULT/COUDIÈRE/PIERRE 09] Bourgault, Y.; Coudière, Y.; Pierre, C.: *Existence and uniqueness of the solution for the bidomain model used in cardiac electrophysiology*. Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Appl. **10** (2009), 458 – 482
5. [DUBINSKIĬ 65] Dubinskiĭ, Ju. A. (Дубинский, Ю. А.): *Слабая сходимость в нелинейных эллиптических и параболических уравнениях*. Мат. Сборник **67** (1965) 4, 609 – 642
6. [EVANS 98] Evans, L. C.: *Partial Differential Equations*. American Mathematical Society; Providence 1998
7. [FITZHUGH 61] FitzHugh, R.: *Impulses and physiological states in theoretical models of nerve membrane*. Biophysical J. **1** (1961), 445 – 466
8. [FURSIKOV 00] Fursikov, A. V.: *Optimal Control of Distributed Systems. Theory and Applications*. American Mathematical Society; Providence 2000 (Translations of Mathematical Monographs 187)
9. [KUNISCH/NAGAIAH/WAGNER 11] Kunisch, K.; Nagaiah, C.; Wagner, M.: *A parallel Newton-Krylov method for optimal control of the monodomain model in cardiac electrophysiology*. Computing and Visualization in Science **14** (2011), 257 – 269
10. [KUNISCH/WAGNER 12] Kunisch, K.; Wagner, M.: *Optimal control of the bidomain system (I): The monodomain approximation with the Rogers-McCulloch model*. Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications **13** (2012), 1525 – 1550
11. [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13A] Kunisch, K.; Wagner, M.: *Optimal control of the bidomain system (II): Uniqueness and regularity theorems for weak solutions*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **192** (2013), 951 – 986

-
12. [KUNISCH/WAGNER 13B] Kunisch, K.; Wagner, M.: *Optimal control of the bidomain system (III): Existence of minimizers and first-order optimality conditions*. ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis **47** (2013), 1077 – 1106
 13. [LADYŽENSKAJA/SOLONNIKOV/URAL’CEVA 88] Ladyženskaja, O. A.; Solonnikov, V. A.; Ural’ceva, N. N.: *Linear and Quasi-linear Equations of Parabolic Type*. American Mathematical Society; Providence 1988
 14. [NAGUMO/ARIMOTO/YOSHIZAWA 62] Nagumo, J.; Arimoto, S.; Yoshizawa, S.: *An active pulse transmission line simulating nerve axon*. Proceedings of the Institute of Radio Engineers **50** (1962), 2061 – 2070
 15. [NAGAIAH/KUNISCH/PLANK 11] Nagaiah, C.; Kunisch, K.; Plank, G.: *Numerical solution for optimal control of the reaction-diffusion equations in cardiac electrophysiology*. Comput. Optim. Appl. **49** (2011), 149 – 178
 16. [ROGERS/McCULLOCH 94] Rogers, J. M.; McCulloch, A. D.: *A collocation-Galerkin finite element model of cardiac action potential propagation*. IEEE Transactions of Biomedical Engineering **41** (1994), 743 – 757
 17. [SIMON 87] Simon, J.: *Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T; B)$* . Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **146** (1987), 65 – 96
 18. [STEIN 70] Stein, E. M.: *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*. Princeton University Press; Princeton 1970
 19. [SUNDNES/LINES/CAI/NIELSEN/MARDAL/TVEITO 06] Sundnes, J.; Lines, G. T.; Cai, X.; Nielsen, B. F.; Mardal, K.-A.; Tveito, A.: *Computing the Electrical Activity in the Heart*. Springer; Berlin etc. 2006
 20. [TUNG 78] Tung, L.: *A Bi-Domain Model for Describing Ischemic Myocardial D-C Potentials*. PhD thesis. Massachusetts Institute of Technology 1978
 21. [VENERONI 09] Veneroni, M.: *Reaction-diffusion systems for the macroscopic bidomain model of the cardiac electric field*. Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications **10** (2009), 849 – 868

Last modification: 18.08.2015

Authors’ addresses / e-mail. *Karl Kunisch:* University of Graz, Institute for Mathematics and Scientific Computing, Heinrichstraße 36, A-8010 Graz, Austria. e-mail: karl.kunisch@uni-graz.at

Marcus Wagner: Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Inselstraße 22, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany. Homepage / e-mail: www.thecityto come.de / marcus.wagner@mis.mpg.de